

From n8jxo@access.mountain.net Sat Mar 01 15:18:03 1997
Received: from access.mountain.net (root@Access.Mountain.Net [198.77.1.3]) by
tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id PAA05789 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>;
Sat, 1 Mar 1997 15:18:01 -0600 (CST)
Received: from n8jxo.mountain.net (slip20-20.Mountain.Net [198.77.24.119]) by
access.mountain.net (8.8.5/8.7.3) with SMTP id QAA08936 for
<regional_freq@tapr.org>; Sat, 1 Mar 1997 16:17:42 -0500 (EST)
Message-ID: <33189CFD.1C0D@access.mountain.net>
Date: Sat, 01 Mar 1997 16:17:49 -0500
From: Bill and Susan Davidson <n8jxo@access.mountain.net>
Reply-To: n8jxo@access.mountain.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
Subject: NADSD West Virginia
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

To: TAPR NADSD Team

As per your instructions NADSD 1 Feb 1997 from Carl Estey, WA0CQG, I
have tried to log onto the TAPR FTP server, but receive the following
msg.

BSDI BSD/OS 2.1 Kernel #3: Mon Apr 8 13:01:02 CDT 1996

Sorry but this user account does not have shell access

I can connect using the WEB with the same login and password so am not
sure what the problem is.

There are two files (Plain Text) that I need removed from this area so I
can get this listing corrected.

Basicly I need to start over. Any help in this matter will be greatly
appreciated.

This thing is starting to look very good, keep up the good work, it is
appreciated.

Bill Davidson, N8JX0
Wood County, WV RACES
Davisville, West Virginia
n8jxo@access.mountain.net

From w6go@netcom.com Sat Mar 01 17:37:52 1997
Received: from netcom6.netcom.com (w6go@netcom6.netcom.com [192.100.81.114]) by
tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id RAA12740 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>;
Sat, 1 Mar 1997 17:37:50 -0600 (CST)
Received: (from w6go@localhost) by netcom6.netcom.com (8.6.13/Netcom)
id PAA17810; Sat, 1 Mar 1997 15:37:49 -0800
From: w6go@netcom.com (Jay O'Brien - W6GO)

Message-Id: <199703012337.PAA17810@netcom6.netcom.com>
Subject: Work in progress
To: regional_freq@tapr.org (tapr_freq_coord !)
Date: Sat, 1 Mar 1997 15:37:49 -0800 (PST)
X-Mailer: ELM [version 2.4 PL23]
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Not a complaint, but something incomplete (now) in NADSD:

In the print version the following fields do not print:
Notes, Network Type, Software

In the web page the following fields do not print:
Network type, Software

73, Jay
w6go@netcom.com

From dmeredith@phx-az.ampr.org Sun Mar 02 19:24:36 1997
Received: from azgtwy.ampr.org ([164.50.248.100]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9)
with SMTP id TAA29931 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Sun, 2 Mar 1997 19:24:34 -0600
(CST)
From: dmeredith@phx-az.ampr.org
Received: from phx-az.ampr.org by azgtwy.ampr.org (JNOS1.11x3) with SMTP
id AA5036 ; Wed, 06 Feb 96 23:51:59 MST
Date: Sun, 02 Mar 97 18:23:19 MST
Message-Id: <2549@phx-az.ampr.org>
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
Subject: Uploads

I know this has been answered before and my appologies for asking...
How often does the update take place? I uploaded the new Arizona records
and have not seen an update yet...and Yep, I'm clicking reload on my
browser...

Thanks...

Daniel

From knoliver@tcd.net Sun Mar 02 21:46:19 1997
Received: from mx.tcd.net (root@testmachine.tcd.net [198.70.50.5]) by tapr.org
(8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id VAA07954 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Sun, 2 Mar
1997 21:46:17 -0600 (CST)
Received: from tcd.net (root@main.tcd.net [198.70.50.4])
by mx.tcd.net (8.8.5/8.8.5) with ESMTP
id VAA04824 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Sun, 2 Mar 1997 21:48:55 -0700
Received: from knoliver (slip12.cedar.tcd.net [198.70.50.152]) by tcd.net
(8.8.5/8.8.5) with ESMTP id UAA15268 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Sun, 2 Mar 1997
20:46:14 -0700
Message-Id: <199703030346.UAA15268@tcd.net>
From: "Ken Oliver" <knoliver@tcd.net>
To: <regional_freq@tapr.org>

Subject: Upload help
Date: Sun, 2 Mar 1997 20:47:27 -0700
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Priority: 3
X-Mailer: Microsoft Internet Mail 4.70.1155
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Anyone using internet Explorer? I need some help to upload
file to NADSD. I do have proper login and password. I must
be overlooking something. 73 Ken

From cestey@millcomm.com Mon Mar 03 08:47:35 1997
Received: from mill2.millcomm.com (root@Mill2.MillComm.COM [199.170.133.2]) by
tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id IAA10712 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>;
Mon, 3 Mar 1997 08:47:34 -0600 (CST)
Received: from Dial-01.Mpls.MillComm.COM by mill2.millcomm.com with smtp
(Smail3.1.29.1 #2) id m0w1Z2a-000MmxC; Mon, 3 Mar 97 08:48 CST
Date: Mon, 3 Mar 97 08:48 CST
Message-Id: <1.5.4.16.19970303143648.478730c2@millcomm.com>
X-Sender: cestey@millcomm.com
X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Light Version 1.5.4 (16)
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: Carl Estey <cestey@millcomm.com>
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:288] Uploads

Daniel -

Allow a couple of hours after uploading to see the effect of your work. It
is not immediate.

Carl - WA0CQG

=-----

At 07:25 PM 3/2/97 -0600, you wrote:

>I know this has been answered before and my appologies for asking...
>How often does the update take place? I uploaded the new Arizona records
>and have not seen an update yet...and Yep, I'm clicking reload on my
>browser...
>
>Thanks...
>
>Daniel
>
>
>

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Thu Mar 06 01:51:11 1997
Received: from [208.134.134.40] ([208.134.134.40]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9)
with ESMTP id BAA12764 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Thu, 6 Mar 1997 01:51:09
-0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <v03007817af4427794003@[208.134.134.40]>

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Thu, 6 Mar 1997 01:51:23 -0600
To: TAPR Regional Freq <regional_freq@tapr.org>
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: Check Data Program

I just ran the first test of the Check Input Data Program. Everyone that is submitting data should receive one or more e-mail messages for each data file.

The test program checks just a few of the fields based on the current DATA FORMAT of the NADSD. We have added X1J and some other network types that were suggested.

Look forward to hearing what everyone got.

Cheers - Greg

Greg Jones, WD5IVD
Austin, Texas
wd5ivd@tapr.org
<http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd>

From w1eo@w1eo.ampr.org Thu Mar 06 07:48:48 1997
Received: from gw.ri.ultranet.com (gw.ri.ultranet.com [146.115.238.166]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id HAA24179 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Thu, 6 Mar 1997 07:48:45 -0600 (CST)
Received: from w1eo.ampr.org by switch.woonri.ampr.org (MFNOS 1.24) with SMTP id AA27315 ; Thu, 06 Mar 1997 08:48:39 -0500 (EST)
Date: Thu, 06 Mar 97 13:41:05 UTC
Message-Id: <20395@w1eo.ampr.org>
From: w1eo@w1eo.ampr.org ("DoC" Dave Willard)
Reply-To: w1eo@gw.ri.ultranet.com
To: regional_freq@tapr.org@switch.woonri
Subject: NADSD data checking
X-Mailer: Bdale's Mailer version PA3AZK.940404 (MSDOS)

I would like to suggest that the APRS entry for System Type be expanded to <APRS digi> and <APRS gateway> since from a users viewpoint there is a great difference in service.

What is the appropriate entry for Network Type when the System Type is DX Cluster? I see no appropriate entry unless its <...>!

What is the appropriate entry in ANY field if unknown? Blank, space or <?>?

73 DoC, W1EO

From w1eo@w1eo.ampr.org Thu Mar 06 08:27:05 1997
Received: from gw.ri.ultranet.com (gw.ri.ultranet.com [146.115.238.166]) by

tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id IAA25878 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>;
Thu, 6 Mar 1997 08:27:03 -0600 (CST)
Received: from wleo.ampr.org by switch.woonri.ampr.org (MFNOS 1.24)
with SMTP id AA27319 ; Thu, 06 Mar 1997 09:27:38 -0500 (EST)
Date: Thu, 06 Mar 97 14:21:22 UTC
Message-Id: <20396@wleo.ampr.org>
From: wleo@wleo.ampr.org ("DoC" Dave Willard)
Reply-To: wleo@gw.ri.ultranet.com
To: regional_freq@tapr.org@switch.woonri
Subject: NADSD data check
X-Mailer: Bdale's Mailer version PA3AZK.940404 (MSDOS)

On second thought, I guess the gate/digi information for APRS stations
better fits in the NOTES field. I withdraw my suggestion about changing
the SYSTEM TYPE definitions.

73 DoC

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Thu Mar 06 17:45:37 1997
Received: from [208.134.134.40] ([208.134.134.40]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9)
with ESMTP id RAA00805 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Thu, 6 Mar 1997 17:45:33
-0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <v03007806af4504160ff4@[208.134.134.40]>
In-Reply-To: <199702270901.BAA14349@netcom15.netcom.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Thu, 6 Mar 1997 17:45:37 -0600
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:272] Re: By Popular Demand

>Wonderful!! My Browser loves the new format.

>

>Greg now has something that loads instantly and that I can print. And,
>I did print the California record, just to prove I could and to see how
>my inputs track with the others. The California record took 26 pages
>and it took over an hour and a half to print on my LaserJet II. Gawd,
>how I **love** Windows.

Thanks.

>I have some suggestions.

>

>1. The Sponsor field is not wide enough. Example, Oakhurst, 145.77.
> "Charles Allessi, W6IEG" is the data, and it is printed as follows:
> "Charles Allessi, W". The Sponsor field is shared with the
> Organization field and notes. It looks like there is always a
> blank line between the Organization field and the Notes field.
> I suggest that IF there is a comma in the Sponsor field, which
> in my example indicates a callsign follows, that the callsign be
> placed on the next line. The Organization would be the next line,
> followed by notes on the next line.

I'll work on this in the coming week or two. I'll also add the field

lengths to the HOWTO page that has the data format.

>2. Now that the display is in non-proportional font format, I suggest
> that the maximum number of characters or other limitations be
> detailed for each field in the database.

See above.

>3. Now that "Network type" is meaningful, I suggest that "PC/TNC" be
> added to the acceptable entries to allow the L2 only WA8DED code
> originally provided with the PacketCluster software to be designated.
> A large portion of PacketCluster nodes run BPQ switches as TSRs
> replacing PC/TNC, and they would be so indicated. I also suggest
> that "X1J" be allowed as a network type. I find many such entries
> across the states' databases and as it is enough different from the
> original "TheNet", I suggest that "X1J" be sanctioned. The same with
> "KA" for KA-Node (ugh).

Check the data format. Those have been added. Someone asked about APRS.
Maybe it should be APRS as a System Type and then DIGI or GATEWAY whatever
as a NETWORK type ? Should we add GATEWAY and DIGI under NETWORK types ?

> Now that I see how the new format looks (great!), I am going to
> update my "Network type" field to reflect "BPQ" for most of our
> PacketCluster Nodes, "PC/TNC" for the two that haven't converted,
> and "X1J" for most of our user access Network nodes (but a very
> small number of TheNet and KA nodes).

I saw where some folks wanted to add the rev of X1J along with the name.
That probably should be kept in notes ?

>4. I suggest that users be allowed to pull data from the database based
> on several different criteria, and then display the data and download
> a straight ASCII file that could be printed off-line. The Selection
> criteria should include the following examples:

As to the range selection (which I deleted opps) -- this is the purpose of
the map. Once we get some closure on people using tyhe correct types then
Steve will be able to limit map showings to those...to see what a node is,
just click on it and the data appears at the bottom.

I will be adding by freq, network, system types as web pages...so you can
view a state ranked by freq and the types of systems.

I felt the check page code was needed before adding further to the pages.

>5. I suggest that additional fields be made "required", after giving
> the uploaders an opportunity to comment. For instance, Last update,
> submitter email and submitter info should be required fields, in my
> opinion.

As stated eariler, the committee will begin to ask for required fields
later in the process...maybe by summer. Let's give everyone time to get

their check data back and then make changes to their data.

>6. I suggest that the "n/p" entered for "not provided" (I guess) be
> dropped for the situations when "Organization" is not included. It
> really cheapens the display and printout, in my opinion.

Okay. What do others think ?

>7. I suggest that the "Submitter email" field be added in the same
> column as "Submitter and last updated" as a third line. This will
> give "lookee-loos" someone to send email to if they have a question.

I'll look at it.

>8. I suggest that the Location column be changed to show "Geographic
> Area" first, then "County" then "Location". This would start with
> the widest area and narrow down to the specific location.

Comments from the group ?

I like to think small to large, this approach is large to small.

>9. I suggest that the User parameters column be changed to show the
> "System type" first, then "Network type", "Software used", "Speed",
> "IP Address" and "FQDN" as these are likely to be the order of
> criteria used by a user to select a packet node of some type.

Comments from the group ?

They are currently displayed as I thought they made sense.

Cheers - Greg

Greg Jones, WD5IVD
Austin, Texas
wd5ivd@tapr.org
<http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd>

From w1eo@w1eo.ampr.org Mon Mar 10 08:26:01 1997
Received: from gw.ri.ultranet.com (gw.ri.ultranet.com [146.115.238.166]) by
tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id IAA08587 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>;
Mon, 10 Mar 1997 08:25:54 -0600 (CST)
Received: from w1eo.ampr.org by switch.woonri.ampr.org (MFNOS 1.24)
with SMTP id AA27577 ; Mon, 10 Mar 1997 09:26:02 -0500 (EST)
Date: Mon, 10 Mar 97 14:21:33 UTC
Message-Id: <20409@w1eo.ampr.org>
From: w1eo@w1eo.ampr.org ("DoC" Dave Willard)
Reply-To: w1eo@gw.ri.ultranet.com
To: regional_freq@tapr.org@switch.woonri
Subject: Is this broken?

X-Mailer: Bdale's Mailer version PA3AZK.940404 (MSDOS)

I have received no SIG msgs for days now. Alos I have not had any responses to my request for guidance for entries to be used for fields for which we have no knowledge. Should they be left blank or should a '?' or space be inserted?

73 DoC

From grkent@bigfoot.com Mon Mar 10 08:57:59 1997

Received: from pacs03.infoave.net (pacs03.InfoAve.Net [165.166.0.13]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id IAA10089 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Mon, 10 Mar 1997 08:57:58 -0600 (CST)

Received: from george (dial-8.r3.sclnscs.Infoave.Net) by InfoAve.Net (PMDf V5.1-5 #17060)

with SMTP id <01IGC0HAJDBY96WJIR@InfoAve.Net> for regional_freq@tapr.org; Mon, 10 Mar 1997 09:57:42 EST

Date: Mon, 10 Mar 1997 09:56:55 -0500

From: George Kent <grkent@bigfoot.com>

Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:295] Is this broken?

In-reply-to: <20409@w1eo.ampr.org>

To: regional_freq@tapr.org

Message-id: <01IGC0HCC03K96WJIR@InfoAve.Net>

MIME-version: 1.0

X-Mailer: Becky! ver 1.12

Content-type: text/plain

Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

References: <20409@w1eo.ampr.org>

On Mon, 10 Mar 1997 08:26:43 -0600 (CST)

w1eo@w1eo.ampr.org ("DoC" Dave Willard) wrote:

> I have received no SIG msgs for days now. Alos I have not had any responses
> to my request for guidance for entries to be used for fields for which
> we have no knowledge. Should they be left blank or should a '?' or space
> be inserted?

> 73 DoC

>

Doc, I have left mine blank, and it seems to work fine.

Yes, the message activity is slow but believe it was just the weekend.

People are starting to get outside.

George Kent

Lancaster, SC

KD4DVT@KD4DVT.#LANC.SC.USA.NOAM

grkent@bigfoot.com

From w1eo@w1eo.ampr.org Mon Mar 10 10:37:59 1997

Received: from gw.ri.ultranet.com (gw.ri.ultranet.com [146.115.238.166]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id KAA15997 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>;

Mon, 10 Mar 1997 10:37:42 -0600 (CST)
Received: from w1eo.ampr.org by switch.woonri.ampr.org (MFNOS 1.24)
with SMTP id AA27585 ; Mon, 10 Mar 1997 11:37:29 -0500 (EST)
Date: Mon, 10 Mar 97 16:31:06 UTC
Message-Id: <20410@w1eo.ampr.org>
From: w1eo@w1eo.ampr.org ("DoC" Dave Willard)
Reply-To: w1eo@gw.ri.ultranet.com
To: regional_freq@tapr.org@switch.woonri
Subject: working!
X-Mailer: Bdale's Mailer version PA3AZK.940404 (MSDOS)

>Doc, I have left mine blank, and it seems to work fine.
>Yes, the message activity is slow but believe it was just the weekend.
>People are starting to get outside.
>
>
>George Kent
>Lancaster, SC
>KD4DVT@KD4DVT.#LANC.SC.USA.NOAM
>grkent@bigfoot.com

Thanks George. I was leaving mine blank also but the format check run recently sent me a set of messages indicating that "" was wrong. Thats why I asked.

And maybe in SC folks see spring but We just had a 3 inch heavy snow storm up here in New England <grin>.

73 DoC sysop@w1on.ma.usa.noam

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Mon Mar 10 11:17:54 1997
Received: from [208.134.134.40] ([208.134.134.40]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9)
with ESMTP id LAA18759 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Mon, 10 Mar 1997 11:17:52
-0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <v0300781daf49f0aa1ede@[208.134.134.40]>
In-Reply-To: <20410@w1eo.ampr.org>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Mon, 10 Mar 1997 11:11:07 -0600
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:297] working!

Hi Doc.

The ? will also appear with a WARNING in the current code I have written. I did not take into account that some of the SYSTEM types will not have NETWORK type field entieres. That will be corrected the next time it is run.

You shouldn't use ? in the fields, becuae they will show up in the web page and probably look strange to someone looking at your data.

Now -- if a NETWORK or SYSTEM field is blank then the system will give a WARNING. The purpose of the WARNINGS right now is to try to get the data matching the format closer so that Steve can get the mapping functions to relate to those fields.

Next on the list will be checking the LAT/LON fields for formatting.

Cheers - Greg

>>Doc, I have left mine blank, and it seems to work fine.
>>Yes, the message activity is slow but believe it was just the weekend.
>>People are starting to get outside.
>>
>>
>>George Kent
>>Lancaster, SC
>>KD4DVT@KD4DVT.#LANC.SC.USA.NOAM
>>grkent@bigfoot.com
>
>Thanks George. I was leaving mine blank also but the format check run
>recently sent me a set of messages indicating that "" was wrong. Thats
>why I asked.
>And maybe in SC folks see spring but We just had a 3 inch heavy snow
>storm up here in New England <grin>.
>73 DoC sysop@w1on.ma.usa.noam

Greg Jones, WD5IVD
Austin, Texas
wd5ivd@tapr.org
<http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd>

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Mon Mar 10 12:15:54 1997
Received: from [208.134.134.40] ([208.134.134.40]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9)
with ESMTP id MAA22669 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Mon, 10 Mar 1997 12:15:52
-0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <v0300782faf49fc72e440@[208.134.134.40]>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Mon, 10 Mar 1997 12:00:03 -0600
To: TAPR Regional Freq <regional_freq@tapr.org>
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: Opps

Just caught a bug in the generation code....thanks to Frank at TPRS for the clue.

The Network Field wasn't being output.

Looks a lot better now.

Cheers - Greg

Greg Jones, WD5IVD

Austin, Texas
wd5ivd@tapr.org
http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd

From kc3et@diamond.nb.net Mon Mar 10 23:14:12 1997
Received: from nb.net (diamond.nb.net [207.113.179.26]) by tapr.org
(8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id XAA05953 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Mon, 10 Mar
1997 23:13:51 -0600 (CST)
Received: from National Business Network.nb.net by nb.net (SMI-8.6/SMI-SVR4)
id AAA01031; Tue, 11 Mar 1997 00:14:15 -0500
Message-ID: <3324E966.25A8@nb.net>
Date: Tue, 11 Mar 1997 00:11:02 -0500
From: Chuck Gessner <kc3et@diamond.nb.net>
Reply-To: kc3et@diamond.nb.net
Organization: CNG ENTERPRISES
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01Gold (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:295] REGIONAL_FREQ digest 96
References: <199703101427.IAA08670@tapr.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

regional_freq@tapr.org wrote:

>
>
> REGIONAL_FREQ Digest 96
>
> Topics covered in this issue include:
>
> 1) Is this broken?
> by w1eo@w1eo.ampr.org ("DoC" Dave Willard)
>
> -----
>
> Date: Mon, 10 Mar 97 14:21:33 UTC
> From: w1eo@w1eo.ampr.org ("DoC" Dave Willard)
> To: regional_freq@tapr.org@switch.woonri
> Subject: Is this broken?
> Message-ID: <20409@w1eo.ampr.org>
>
> I have received no SIG msgs for days now. Alos I have not had any responses
> to my request for guidance for entries to be used for fields for which
> we have no knowledge. Should they be left blank or should a '?' or space
> be inserted?
> 73 DoC
>
> -----
>
> End of REGIONAL_FREQ Digest 96
> *****
Hi Doc, etal.
To answer your question about filling in the necessary fields, I

simply added three periods (ie. ...) to fill in the entries that did not have information in before. This all came about when I received a message from the Tapr server last weekend showing that certain fields, such as SYSTEM TYPE and NETWORK TYPE, generated an error message because I had no information in those fields. SO, I retyped the document for my area in W.Pa. and added those three periods in each of those fields that apparently required it. So far I have not received another Tapr server message yet, although I think that server runs once a week. I am looking forward to seeing if any more messages will be generated by that server informing me of my errors. Hi Hi.

73 de Chuck KC3ET

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Mon Mar 10 23:37:09 1997
Received: from [208.134.134.40] ([208.134.134.40]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id XAA08292 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Mon, 10 Mar 1997 23:37:06 -0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <v03007804af4a9e84a7b6@[208.134.134.40]>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Mon, 10 Mar 1997 23:37:26 -0600
To: TAPR Regional Freq <regional_freq@tapr.org>
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: Blank Fields

Please note -- as explained in the message at the top of the first check program output -- expect things to change with respect to the check program. I was wanting input back from everyone about how it worked -- and all the mail I got was positive in nature.

The check program is not running automatically currently. I am running it by hand now. I figure to run it again sometime before the end of the week. I figure additional time is required between runnings to help everyone get their data corrected. As the data warning rates decline we should be able to automate. The question then becomes -- do you want weekly or monthly ?

The first program -- opps -- didn't take into account that certain types of SYSTEM types don't have NETWORK fields entires. Thus BBS, DX CLUSTER, APRS, DIGITAL RPT, DIGI can have a blank NETWORK field. Right ?

So, don't use ??? or ... or other items. NETWORK TYPE can be blank and should be blank for certain entires.

I am updating the checking code to take this into account so that it does not report a BLANK NETWORK type field upon the SYSTEM types above.

Now, if you added ???? or ... and the program runs next time -- the system will probably inform you that the NETWORK field contains an invalid entry, since ??? or ... are not covered in e definition of that field.

Overall, from what Carl and myself can see from the data thus far the first run of the check program really helped the input of data. Sorry about the little issue here, but deleting a pattern like ??? or ... shouldn't be a big issue for most software programs.

Cheers - Greg

Greg Jones, WD5IVD
Austin, Texas
wd5ivd@tapr.org
<http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd>

From sdimse@bridge.net Tue Mar 11 01:55:07 1997
Received: from newworld.bridge.net (root@bridge.net [204.253.4.4]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id BAA23490 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Tue, 11 Mar 1997 01:55:06 -0600 (CST)
Received: from [199.174.214.5] (hd03-005.compuserve.com [199.174.214.5]) by newworld.bridge.net (8.8.5/8.8.3) with SMTP id CAA08398 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Tue, 11 Mar 1997 02:55:02 -0500 (EST)
Message-Id: <199703110755.CAA08398@newworld.bridge.net>
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:301] Blank Fields
Date: Tue, 11 Mar 97 02:55:09 -0500
x-sender: sdimse@mail.bridge.net
x-mailer: Claris EMailer 2.0 x49, February 10, 1997
From: Steve Dimse <sdimse@bridge.net>
To: <regional_freq@tapr.org>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

>The check program is not running automatically currently. I am running it
>by hand now. I figure to run it again sometime before the end of the week.
>I figure additional time is required between runnings to help everyone get
>their data corrected. As the data warning rates decline we should be able
>to automate. The question then becomes -- do you want weekly or monthly ?
>

I'd say even more often...new data should be checked sooner. Is there a way to check only newly uploaded data? This could run several times a day, with a global check of the entire data base once a week. This way you wouldn't get bombed with email if you can't fix data immediately, but you will know if something you've entered is wrong right away.

Steve K4HG

From grkent@bigfoot.com Tue Mar 11 08:01:06 1997
Received: from pacs03.infoave.net (pacs03.InfoAve.Net [165.166.0.13]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id IAA05072 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Tue, 11 Mar 1997 08:01:04 -0600 (CST)
Received: from george (dial-10.r2.sclnncs.InfoAve.Net) by InfoAve.Net (PMDF V5.1-5 #17060) with SMTP id <01IGDCS066QY93PK2R@InfoAve.Net> for regional_freq@tapr.org; Tue, 11 Mar 1997 09:01:16 EST
Date: Tue, 11 Mar 1997 09:00:25 -0500
From: George Kent <grkent@bigfoot.com>
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:301] Blank Fields
In-reply-to: <v03007804af4a9e84a7b6@[208.134.134.40]>

To: regional_freq@tapr.org
Message-id: <01IGDCSPY3X893PK2R@InfoAve.Net>
MIME-version: 1.0
X-Mailer: Becky! ver 1.12
Content-type: text/plain
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit
References: <v03007804af4a9e84a7b6@[208.134.134.40]>

> The check program is not running automatically currently. I am running it
> by hand now. I figure to run it again sometime before the end of the week.
> I figure additional time is required between runnings to help everyone get
> their data corrected. As the data warning rates decline we should be able
> to automate. The question then becomes -- do you want weekly or monthly ?
>

In my case a weekly check is fine for now and as the error rate declines
once a month would work fine.

George Kent
Lancaster, SC
KD4DVT@KD4DVT.#LANC.SC.USA.NOAM
grkent@bigfoot.com

From faguilar@ICSI.Net Tue Mar 11 09:00:10 1997
Received: from ICSI.Net (ns2.ICSI.Net [199.1.96.110]) by tapr.org
(8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id JAA08064 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Tue, 11 Mar
1997 09:00:09 -0600 (CST)
Received: from warhol.ICSI.Net by ICSI.Net (SMI-8.6/SMI-SVR4)
id IAA25067; Tue, 11 Mar 1997 08:58:15 -0600
Received: from ppp010-lardtx.ICSI.Net by warhol.ICSI.Net (SMI-8.6/SMI-SVR4)
id IAA20545; Tue, 11 Mar 1997 08:58:37 -0600
Message-ID: <3325731D.740E@icsi.net>
Date: Tue, 11 Mar 1997 08:58:37 -0600
From: "Frank R. Aguilar" <faguilar@ICSI.Net>
Organization: N5SSH
X-Mailer: Mozilla 2.02 (Win16; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
Subject: Re: Blank Fields
References: <01IGDCSPY3X893PK2R@InfoAve.Net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> The check program is not running automatically currently. I am running it
> by hand now. I figure to run it again sometime before the end of the week.
> I figure additional time is required between runnings to help everyone get
> their data corrected. As the data warning rates decline we should be able
> to automate. The question then becomes -- do you want weekly or monthly ?
>

Once a week would be fine for me, however it would be nice if we could trigger a
run ourselves say by the
presence of a particular file in the upload subdirectory for example. That way,
if we make a lot of changes,

we won't have to wait for the weekly (or whatever time interval) run.

Frank Aguilar N5SSH
faguilar@icsi.net
TPRS

From w1eo@w1eo.ampr.org Tue Mar 11 11:13:23 1997
Received: from w1eo.ampr.org (w1eo.ampr.org [44.56.4.78]) by tapr.org
(8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id LAA15085 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Tue, 11 Mar
1997 11:09:58 -0600 (CST)
Date: Tue, 11 Mar 97 17:03:28 UTC
Message-Id: <20424@w1eo.ampr.org>
From: w1eo@w1eo.ampr.org ("DoC" Dave Willard)
Reply-To: w1eo@gw.ri.ultranet.com
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:304] Re: Blank Fields
In-Reply-To: Your message of Tue, 11 Mar 1997 09:04:29 -0600 (CST)
X-Mailer: Bdale's Mailer version PA3AZK.940404 (MSDOS)

In message <3325731D.740E@icsi.net> you write:

> > The check program is not running automatically currently. I am running it
> > by hand now. I figure to run it again sometime before the end of the week.
> > I figure additional time is required between runnings to help everyone get
> > their data corrected. As the data warning rates decline we should be able
> > to automate. The question then becomes -- do you want weekly or monthly ?
> >

>
> Once a week would be fine for me, however it would be nice if we could trigger a
run ourselves say by the
> presence of a particular file in the upload subdirectory for example. That way,
if we make a lot of changes,
> we won't have to wait for the weekly (or whatever time interval) run.

>
> Frank Aguilar N5SSH
> faguilar@icsi.net
> TPRS
>
>

Self trigger and once per week sound good to me.
73 DoC

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Tue Mar 11 12:28:31 1997
Received: from [208.134.134.40] ([208.134.134.40]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9)
with ESMTP id MAA19611 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Tue, 11 Mar 1997 12:28:28
-0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <v03007801af4b4cf550a6@[208.134.134.40]>
In-Reply-To: <199703110755.CAA08398@newworld.bridge.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Tue, 11 Mar 1997 11:58:32 -0600
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:302] Re: Blank Fields

>>The check program is not running automatically currently. I am running it
>>by hand now. I figure to run it again sometime before the end of the week.
>>I figure additional time is required between runnings to help everyone get
>>their data corrected. As the data warning rates decline we should be able
>>to automate. The question then becomes -- do you want weekly or monthly ?
>>
>I'd say even more often...new data should be checked sooner. Is there a
>way to check only newly uploaded data? This could run several times a
>day, with a global check of the entire data base once a week. This way
>you wouldn't get bombed with email if you can't fix data immediately, but
>you will know if something you've entered is wrong right away.
>

Actually it is just a change to the existing program based on day offset
when the data file is first opened. I just haven't turned that part of the
program on yet.

The goal will be to run the program nightly looking for the date offset
being 1 (current day) and then generate a report and then run weekly or
monthly on the entire thing reminding folks what hasn't been fixed.

I guess I could run it every 3 hours like the creation of the system and
look for an hour offset, but wanted to keep it simply.

Just working into all this slowly.

Greg

Greg Jones, WD5IVD
Austin, Texas
wd5ivd@tapr.org
<http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd>

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Tue Mar 11 12:28:43 1997
Received: from [208.134.134.40] ([208.134.134.40]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9)
with ESMTP id MAA19654 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Tue, 11 Mar 1997 12:28:41
-0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <v03007808af4b52c7af05@[208.134.134.40]>
In-Reply-To: <3325731D.740E@icsi.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Tue, 11 Mar 1997 12:21:14 -0600
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:304] Re: Blank Fields

Now that is a very good concept Frank. Let me put that on the list for how
to do it automatically based on submitter request.

Greg

>> The check program is not running automatically currently. I am running it
>> by hand now. I figure to run it again sometime before the end of the week.
>> I figure additional time is required between runnings to help everyone get
>> their data corrected. As the data warning rates decline we should be able
>> to automate. The question then becomes -- do you want weekly or monthly ?
>>
>
>Once a week would be fine for me, however it would be nice if we could
>trigger a run ourselves say by the
>presence of a particular file in the upload subdirectory for example.
>That way, if we make a lot of changes,
>we won't have to wait for the weekly (or whatever time interval) run.
>
>Frank Aguilar N5SSH
>faguilar@icsi.net
>TPRS

Greg Jones, WD5IVD
Austin, Texas
wd5ivd@tapr.org
<http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd>

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Tue Mar 11 16:04:55 1997
Received: from [208.134.134.40] ([208.134.134.40]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9)
with ESMTP id QAA02598 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Tue, 11 Mar 1997 16:04:52
-0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <v03007818af4b81e4c3ad@[208.134.134.40]>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Tue, 11 Mar 1997 15:58:39 -0600
To: TAPR Regional Freq <regional_freq@tapr.org>
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: Update on Checkpages Program

Okay -- here is what I have implemented for the check program as of this
afternoons break...

1. The check program now runs every hour, 5 mins past.

If it sees a file that has changed in the last hour it then generates a
report for that file only and e-mails it to the submission group. I could
set this for every 30 mins if you want or set it for every 3 hour or any
time period that would be handy.

Thus, if you upload an update -- at 5mins past the hour the system will
send you e-mail about the updated file.

2. When we are ready, I will set the check program to run once weekly to
all groups informing them on their data accuracy.

Eventually, I will add some text to the weekly message that indicates when the file was last updated in number of days.

3. I have changed the checking code so that it does the following on the SYSTEM and NETWORK fields.

```
@system_type = ('NODE','BBS','DX CLUSTER','APRS','DIGITAL  
RPT','DIGI','SWITCH');
```

If the SYSTEM field is blank it tells you:

```
"WARNING: System Field ' __is blank__";
```

If the SYETEM field is not a type defined above then it prints:

```
"WARNING: System Field contains an invalid type: '$systype';
```

```
@network_type =  
( 'ROSE','NETROM','THENET','BPQ','TCP/IP','APPLETALK','X1J','PC/TNC','TEXNET','FL  
EXNET','KANODE');
```

If the SYSTEM field is equal to NODE or SWITCH and the Network field is blank:

```
"WARNING: Network Field for System Type '$systype' __is blank__";
```

If the SYSTEM field is equal to NODE or SWITCH and Network field is not a type defined above then it prints:

```
"WARNING: Network Field contains an invalid type: '$netype';
```

If the SYSTEM field is not NODE or SWITCH and the Network contains something it says:

```
"CHECK: Network type defined as '$netype' when it might be blank  
for System '$systype';
```

Have fun!

Greg

```
Greg Jones, WD5IVD  
Austin, Texas  
wd5ivd@tapr.org  
http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd
```

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Tue Mar 11 16:41:30 1997

Received: from [208.134.134.40] ([208.134.134.40]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9)
with ESMTP id QAA05373 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Tue, 11 Mar 1997 16:41:14
-0600 (CST)

Message-Id: <v0300781baf4b8f67f12f@[208.134.134.40]>

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Tue, 11 Mar 1997 16:39:06 -0600
To: TAPR Regional Freq <regional_freq@tapr.org>
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: Hourly Check Program

When someone updates their file and gets the message from the system, let me know if it worked okay.

Greg

Greg Jones, WD5IVD
Austin, Texas
wd5ivd@tapr.org
<http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd>

From sdraper@ckt.net Tue Mar 11 18:53:41 1997
Received: from ckt.net (girard1-le1.ckt.net [206.253.50.10]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id SAA12106 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Tue, 11 Mar 1997 18:53:39 -0600 (CST)
Received: from LOCALNAME by ckt.net (SMI-8.6/SMI-SVR4) id SAA07890; Tue, 11 Mar 1997 18:53:35 -0600
Message-ID: <3325A8E6.1E7A@ckt.net>
Date: Tue, 11 Mar 1997 18:48:06 +0000
From: "Stephen C. Draper N5SD" <sdraper@ckt.net>
Reply-To: sdraper@ckt.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01 (Win16; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
Subject: Delete File
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

How do we delete files from our directory? I assume I could just upload an empty file to that name but that would not be neat.

Steve Draper, N5SD
sdraper@ckt.net

From ka4afi@bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org Tue Mar 11 19:41:04 1997
Received: from bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org (gw.awanet.com [205.216.78.95]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id TAA15071 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Tue, 11 Mar 1997 19:41:02 -0600 (CST)
Date: Tue, 11 Mar 97 19:37:30 CST
Message-Id: <335625@bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org>
From: Jim Ketcham <ka4afi@bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org>
Reply-To: ka4afi@bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
Subject: software field request

Hello Greg (and the list),

How about instead of JNOS, some flexibility with " xNOS "
so that " x " could be J, T, F, or what ever other flavors
of NOS in use. JNOS and TNOS are probably the most popular,
but there are others. Is there a way to program the field
to accept other letters for the " x "?

```
+ -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- +
  73 de Jim: KA4AFI, Co-SysOp, EARS JNOS gateway BBS
  WD4ROJ.#SEAL.AL.USA.NOAM -or- bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org
+ -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- +
```

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Tue Mar 11 20:05:35 1997
Received: from [208.134.134.40] ([208.134.134.40]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9)
with ESMTP id UAA17041 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Tue, 11 Mar 1997 20:05:32
-0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <v03007829af4bbcb9a64@[208.134.134.40]>
In-Reply-To: <335625@bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Tue, 11 Mar 1997 19:53:48 -0600
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:311] software field request

You pretty much put what you like in the software field. Since software
changes so frequently. SYSTEM types shouldn't need to be changed very
often. NETWORK types will probably be added from time to time.

The Software field's purpose is to help show the difference between BBS, DX
CLuster, and other systems that are software based, but do the same thing
with different programs.

Cheers - Greg

>Hello Greg (and the list),

>

>How about instead of JNOS, some flexibility with " xNOS "
>so that " x " could be J, T, F, or what ever other flavors
>of NOS in use. JNOS and TNOS are probably the most popular,
>but there are others. Is there a way to program the field
>to accept other letters for the " x "?

>

```
>+ -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- +
> 73 de Jim: KA4AFI, Co-SysOp, EARS JNOS gateway BBS
> WD4ROJ.#SEAL.AL.USA.NOAM -or- bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org
>+ -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- +
```

Greg Jones, WD5IVD
Austin, Texas
wd5ivd@tapr.org

http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd

From ka4afi@bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org Tue Mar 11 20:22:22 1997
Received: from bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org (gw.awanet.com [205.216.78.95]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id UAA18518 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Tue, 11 Mar 1997 20:22:21 -0600 (CST)
Date: Tue, 11 Mar 97 20:20:56 CST
Message-Id: <335642@bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org>
From: Jim Ketcham <ka4afi@bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org>
Reply-To: ka4afi@bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:312] Re: software field request
In-Reply-To: your message of Tue Mar 11 20:09:49 1997
<v03007829af4bbcba9a64@[208.134.134.40]>

OK on "anything" in SOFTWARE field. I just wondered cause the guide says "item P / software used / Enter the terms specified..."

+ -- +
73 de Jim: KA4AFI, Co-SysOp, EARS JNOS gateway BBS
WD4ROJ.#SEAL.AL.USA.NOAM -or- bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org
+ -- +

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Tue Mar 11 20:35:15 1997
Received: from [208.134.134.40] ([208.134.134.40]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id UAA19405 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Tue, 11 Mar 1997 20:35:13 -0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <v0300782aaf4bc6b6f38d@[208.134.134.40]>
In-Reply-To: <335642@bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org>
References: your message of Tue Mar 11 20:09:49 1997
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Tue, 11 Mar 1997 20:35:38 -0600
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:313] Re: software field request

Yes, those are suggestions. If you have others...like the one you listed, we can certainly add them.

We plan on looking over the field entires in the next month or so after we get some other things narrowed down and see what people are using and then list all those.

Until the field is 'checked' then there is no checking.

LAT/LON format is next on the list.

Greg

>OK on "anything" in SOFTWARE field. I just wondered cause the

```
>guide says "item P / software used / Enter the terms specified..."
```

 $\succ$

```
>+ -----+
> 73 de Jim: KA4AFI, Co-SysOp, EARS JNOS gateway BBS
> WD4ROJ.#SEAL.AL.USA.NOAM -or- bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org
>+ -----+
```

— — — — —

Greg Jones, WD5IVD
Austin, Texas
wd5ivd@tapr.org
<http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd>

.....

From w6go@netcom.com Tue Mar 11 23:54:32 1997

Received: from netcom4.netcom.com (w6go@netcom4.netcom.com [192.100.81.107]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id XAA02125 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Tue, 11 Mar 1997 23:54:31 -0600 (CST)

Received: (from w6go@localhost) by netcom4.netcom.com (8.6.13/Netcom)
id VAA19925: Tue, 11 Mar 1997 21:54:29 -0800

From: w6go@netcom.com (Jay O'Brien - W6G0)

Message-Id: <199703120554.VAA19925@netcom4.netcom.com>

Subject: Re: [REGIONAL FREQ:312] Re: software field request

To: regional_freq@tapr.org

Date: Tue, 11 Mar 1997 21:54:29 -0800 (PST)

In-Reply-To: <v03007829af4bbcb9a64@[208.134.134.40]> from "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" at Mar 11, 97 08:09:49 pm

X-Mailer: ELM [version 2.4 PL23]

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

>

```
> You pretty much put what you like in the software field. Since software
> changes so frequently. SYSTEM types shouldn't need to be changed very
> often. NETWORK types will probably be added from time to time.
```

Does this mean I can put in Pclus or PacketCluster rather than "AK1A"?

73, Jay

w6go@netcom.com

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Wed Mar 12 00:40:04 1997

Received: from [208.134.134.40] ([208.134.134.40]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id AAA07987 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 12 Mar 1997 00:40:02 -0600 (CST)

Message-Id: <v03007838af4bfff183621@[208.134.134.40]>

In-Reply-To: <199703120554.VAA19925@netcom4.netcom.com>

References: <v03007829af4bbcb9a64@[208.134.134.40]> from "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" at Mar 11, 97 08:09:49 pm

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Wed, 12 Mar 1997 00:39:28 -0600
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:315] Re: software field request

Yes.

Are those names of software packages used by DX Clusters ?

If you are using AK1A, you should use that...I know that some people are using UNIX based system and others some other software. You best know what the name of your software is. Unlike NETWORK and SYSTEM fields, I don't see the mapping software mapping system names any time soon, so the exact requirement for a specific field definition for software is not as urgent as NETWORK or SYSTEM fields.

The concept of the Software field is to get a feel of what people are using, plus when accessing a system functionality will depend on the software being used.

In addition, there are always interesting questions to be answered on just what is the best supported software in various systems and the like.

Greg

>>
>> You pretty much put what you like in the software field. Since software
>> changes so frequently. SYSTEM types shouldn't need to be changed very
>> often. NETWORK types will probably be added from time to time.
>
>
>Does this mean I can put in Pclus or PacketCluster rather than "AK1A"?
>
>73, Jay
> w6go@netcom.com

Greg Jones, WD5IVD
Austin, Texas
wd5ivd@tapr.org
<http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd>

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Thu Mar 13 12:10:32 1997
Received: from [208.134.134.40] ([208.134.134.40]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9)
with ESMTP id MAA03605 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Thu, 13 Mar 1997 12:10:30
-0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <v03007874af4df285acba@[208.134.134.40]>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Thu, 13 Mar 1997 12:10:59 -0600

To: TAPR Regional Freq <regional_freq@tapr.org>
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: Weekly Check

I just ran the weekly check.

The number of WARNINGS the system generated this week as compared to last week dropped by half. Good work everyone!

Cheers - Greg

Greg Jones, WD5IVD
Austin, Texas
wd5ivd@tapr.org
<http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd>

From kc3et@diamond.nb.net Thu Mar 13 14:34:04 1997
Received: from nb.net (diamond.nb.net [207.113.179.26]) by tapr.org
(8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id OAA03298 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Thu, 13 Mar
1997 14:34:01 -0600 (CST)
Received: from National Business Network.nb.net by nb.net (SMI-8.6/SMI-SVR4)
id NAA22782; Thu, 13 Mar 1997 13:41:49 -0500
Message-ID: <332849AF.3867@nb.net>
Date: Thu, 13 Mar 1997 13:38:39 -0500
From: Chuck Gessner <kc3et@diamond.nb.net>
Reply-To: kc3et@diamond.nb.net
Organization: CNG ENTERPRISES
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01Gold (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: "regional_freq@tapr.org" <regional_freq@tapr.org>
Subject: Entering Data-My Experiences
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi.

Well, I stuck my big foot in it last week. I stated that I had entered into the NETWORK filed three periods. Well, I have since found out that was not the proper way to do this when I didn't have an acceptable entry in that field. My problem had to do with entering KA Nodes into that field. Finally, I saw in one of the 'regional freq' messages that the proper syntax was 'KANODE'. So, I re-entered it and now I have no more errors in my file for W. Pennsylvania. The new checker system that Greg WD5IVD has sure helped me a lot. Now, I am hoping that the next round of checking on the LAT and LON fields, when that starts, will find no errors in my file. Funny thing, I have had several requests from folks in Central Pennsylvania to enter their information into the NADSD. While I am supposed to be doing only W.Pa, I did enter their information, as well as some information for parts of N.W.Virgina, and parts of E.Ohio. While I don't mind doing that, I think that it would behove those who live in those areas to have someone get on the band wagon and start getting this information entered into

the NADSD system.

Well, I have said my piece. So far, I think that this system is great.
I enjoy working with everyone involved.

73 de Chuck KC3ET

From JHiggs@compuserve.com Sat Mar 15 08:05:03 1997
Received: from dub-img-7.compuserve.com (dub-img-7.compuserve.com
[149.174.206.137]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id IAA25265 for
<regional_freq@tapr.org>; Sat, 15 Mar 1997 08:05:01 -0600 (CST)
Received: by dub-img-7.compuserve.com (8.6.10/5.950515)
id JAA01519; Sat, 15 Mar 1997 09:04:47 -0500
Date: Sat, 15 Mar 1997 09:04:25 -0500
From: Julian <JHiggs@compuserve.com>
Subject: Data Scanning
To: "INTERNET:regional_freq@tapr.org" <regional_freq@tapr.org>
Message-ID: <199703150904_MC2-129C-8403@compuserve.com>

once every few weeks automatically, automatically when
new datafile is uploaded, and/or user triggerable is Ok by
me.

Project looks Great !!!

Julian/ke4jno
jhiggs@compuserve.com

From w4sv@thor.pla-net.net Tue Mar 18 17:31:35 1997
Received: from thor.pla-net.net (root@thor.pla-net.net [205.216.10.251]) by
tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id RAA26409 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>;
Tue, 18 Mar 1997 17:31:33 -0600 (CST)
Received: from [205.216.11.216] by thor.pla-net.net (5.x/SMI-SVR4)
id AB07276; Tue, 18 Mar 1997 17:31:28 -0600
Message-Id: <9703182331.AB07276@thor.pla-net.net>
Comments: Authenticated sender is <w4sv@pla-net.net>
From: "Stan Vandiver" <w4sv@thor.pla-net.net>
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
Date: Tue, 18 Mar 1997 17:31:19 -0600
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7BIT
Subject: GATEWAY - Network or System?
Reply-To: w4sv@thor.pla-net.net
Priority: normal
X-Mailer: Pegasus Mail for Windows (v2.42)

Hi All,

GATEWAY and KANODE are a couple of new additions to the Network types
on the NADSD.

My personal thoughts are that GATEWAY should perhaps be a System type
instead, served by a TCP/IP Network. My view is that gateways are sort of
'super-systems'... with the capabilities of BBS, node, switch, and more!

Their scope is certainly much more than just a transport medium, IMHO.
Yet, even NODE and SWITCH qualifies as System types... with the particular
flavor (BPQ, ROSE, THENET, etc) being the expression of the Network type.

Comments?

73 de Stan/W4SV

From ka4afi@bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org Tue Mar 18 22:46:55 1997
Received: from bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org (gw.awanet.com [205.216.78.95]) by tapr.org
(8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id WAA13967 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Tue, 18 Mar
1997 22:46:54 -0600 (CST)
Date: Tue, 18 Mar 97 22:46:26 CST
Message-Id: <339625@bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org>
From: Jim Ketcham <ka4afi@bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org>
Reply-To: ka4afi@bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
Subject: Re: GATEWAY ?

On Tue, 18 Mar 97 Stan Vandiver <w4sv@thor.pla-net.net> wrote this:

>GATEWAY and KANODE are a couple of new additions to the Network types
>on the NADSD.
>
>My personal thoughts are that GATEWAY should perhaps be a System type
>instead, served by a TCP/IP Network. My view is that gateways are sort of
>'super-systems'... with the capabilities of BBS, node, switch, and more!
>
>Their scope is certainly much more than just a transport medium, IMHO.
>Yet, even NODE and SWITCH qualifies as System types... with the particular
>flavor (BPQ, ROSE, THENET, etc) being the expression of the Network type.
>

I think of a "GATEWAY" as a portal through which one can pass to where
you normally would not be able to go. For example, SATgate, RFgate, or
INETgate (Internet gateway). Sort of "beam me up, Scotty".... I don't
know where to put it! Perhaps it is more than a SYSTEM or NETWORK !!!

+ -- +
73 de Jim: KA4AFI, Co-SysOp, EARS JNOS gateway BBS
WD4ROJ.#SEAL.AL.USA.NOAM -or- bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org
+ -- +

From burt@rocler.qc.ca Wed Mar 19 01:53:15 1997
Received: from suroit.rocler.qc.ca (root@suroit.rocler.qc.ca [204.101.179.3]) by
tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id BAA02596 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>;
Wed, 19 Mar 1997 01:53:14 -0600 (CST)
Received: from p212.rocler.qc.ca (p212.rocler.qc.ca [204.101.179.212]) by
suroit.rocler.qc.ca (8.6.11/8.6.9) with SMTP id CAA25353 for
<regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 02:53:16 -0500
Message-ID: <332F5543.AAE@rocler.qc.ca>
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 1997 02:53:55 +0000

From: Burt Lang <burt@rocler.qc.ca>
Reply-To: burt@rocler.qc.ca
Organization: ve2bmq
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win16; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:321] Re: GATEWAY ?
References: <339625@bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Jim Ketcham wrote:

```
>  
> On Tue, 18 Mar 97 Stan Vandiver <w4sv@thor.pla-net.net> wrote this:  
>  
> >GATEWAY and KANODE are a couple of new additions to the Network types  
> >on the NADSD.  
> >  
> >My personal thoughts are that GATEWAY should perhaps be a System type  
> >instead, served by a TCP/IP Network. My view is that gateways are sort of  
> >'super-systems'... with the capabilities of BBS, node, switch, and more!  
> >  
> >Their scope is certainly much more than just a transport medium, IMHO.  
> >Yet, even NODE and SWITCH qualifies as System types... with the particular  
> >flavor (BPQ, ROSE, THENET, etc) being the expression of the Network type.  
> >  
>  
> I think of a "GATEWAY" as a portal through which one can pass to where  
> you normally would not be able to go. For example, SATgate, RFgate, or  
> INETgate (Internet gateway). Sort of "beam me up, Scotty".... I don't  
> know where to put it! Perhaps it is more than a SYSTEM or NETWORK !!!  
>  
> + -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- +  
> 73 de Jim: KA4AFI, Co-SysOp, EARS JNOS gateway BBS  
> WD4ROJ.#SEAL.AL.USA.NOAM -or- bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org  
> + -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- +
```

A GATEWAY by definition is a system connecting two networks that have different protocols (or sometimes just different parameters). To limit gateways to TCP/IP Radio <> wireline networks is to show a very limited mindset. Gateways can vary widely from the Internet gateways that you are thinking of to TheNET<>ROSE, Packet<>xTOR, packet<>DOS computer, etc etc. They are very much a distinct system type, more distinct than some of the other allowed system entries. I don't see any other option but to put them in System Type.

Burt VE2BMQ

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Wed Mar 19 05:30:33 1997
Received: from [208.134.134.40] ([208.134.134.40]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9)
with ESMTP id FAA09324 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 05:30:31
-0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <v0302071caf557888c96a@[208.134.134.40]>

In-Reply-To: <332F5543.AAE@rocler.qc.ca>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 1997 05:26:22 -0600
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:322] Re: GATEWAY ?

>Jim Ketcham wrote:

>>

>A GATEWAY by definition is a system connecting two networks that have
>different protocols (or sometimes just different parameters). To limit
>gateways to TCP/IP Radio <> wireline networks is to show a very limited
>mindset. Gateways can vary widely from the Internet gateways that you
>are thinking of to TheNET<>ROSE, Packet<>XTOR, packet<>DOS computer, etc
>etc. They are very much a distinct system type, more distinct than some
>of the other allowed system entries. I don't see any other option but
>to put them in System Type.
>

It seems that for gateways and systems that have multiple functions that we can not have just one entry in the database solve the issue for showing what a system represents functionally or is accessed by the user. To really show a system correctly, systems need to have an entry for each function. We need to list each function of a system as an entry in the database and then indicate in the notes section that the system is a gateway. Thus, a system that has two functions (i.e. local access and backbone access has two entries with a note that points to relationship). Having something called GATEWAY in either the NETWORK or SYSTEM fields doesn't really solve anything.

A rare example might be a SWITCH that is running NOS and supporting NETROM, TCP/IP, has two user ports for a BBS, and has access to a backbone. That would result in five entries in the database.

Entry 1 could be BBS (Port 1)
Entry 2 could be BBS (Port 2)
Entry 3 could be NODE, NETROM
Entry 4 could be NODE, TCP/IP (Backbone -- no user access)
Entry 5 could be NODE, TCP/IP (user port)

In this way, the node is shown in the listing representing not a one to one relationship based on hardware but the number of things that the hardware does. A functional listing, which allows the user to see possibilities of access when looking for something.

Drop Entries 1 through 3 and you have the listings for a two port node or whatever.

Since I would hope that we are already showing multiple entires for systems that have different freqs support and access (user or backbones), then we should be showing systems that have multple functional support as well the same way.

Cheers - Greg

Greg Jones, WD5IVD
Austin, Texas
wd5ivd@tapr.org
<http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd>

From hutin@sugar-land.anadrill.slb.com Wed Mar 19 07:21:23 1997
Received: from pop2.sugar-land.omnes.net (pop2.sugar-land.omnes.net
[163.185.24.201]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id HAA13736 for
<regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 07:21:19 -0600 (CST)
Received: from hutin-sgl ([163.185.21.61]) by pop2.sugar-land.omnes.net
(post.office MTA v1.9.3 ID# 0-12417) with SMTP id AAA27591;
Wed, 19 Mar 1997 07:21:53 -0500
Message-Id: <3.0.1.32.19970319072039.00a499e8@pop2.sugar-land.omnes.net>
X-Sender: hutin@pop2.sugar-land.omnes.net
X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Pro Version 3.0.1 (32)
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 1997 07:20:39 -0600
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: hutin@sugar-land.anadrill.slb.com (Remi Hutin)
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:323] Re: GATEWAY ?
Cc: hutin@sugar-land.anadrill.slb.com
In-Reply-To: <v0302071caf557888c96a@[208.134.134.40]>
References: <332F5543.AAE@rocler.qc.ca>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

At 05:34 AM 3/19/97 -0600, you wrote:

>>Jim Ketcham wrote:

>>>

>>A GATEWAY by definition is a system connecting two networks that have
>>different protocols (or sometimes just different parameters). To limit
>>gateways to TCP/IP Radio <> wireline networks is to show a very limited
>>mindset. Gateways can vary widely from the Internet gateways that you
>>are thinking of to TheNET<>ROSE, Packet<>xTOR, packet<>DOS computer, etc
>>etc. They are very much a distinct system type, more distinct than some
>>of the other allowed system entries. I don't see any other option but
>>to put them in System Type.

>>

>

>It seems that for gateways and systems that have multiple functions that we
>can not have just one entry in the database solve the issue for showing
>what a system represents functionally or is accessed by the user. To
>really show a system correctly, systems need to have an entry for each
>function. We need to list each function of a system as an entry in the
>database and then indicate in the notes section that the system is a
>gateway. Thus, a system that has two functions (i.e. local access and
>backbone access has two entries with a note that points to relationship).
>Having something called GATEWAY in either the NETWORK or SYSTEM fields
>doesn't really solve anything.

>

>A rare example might be a SWITCH that is running NOS and supporting NETROM,
>TCP/IP, has two user ports for a BBS, and has access to a backbone. That
>would result in five entries in the database.

With Linux we are going to very complex systems. I am setting up a system under Linux with AX25,NETROM,TCP/IP and ROSE (also FLEXNET is available) routing with 5 users/network frequencies, a backbone access and an Internet Link. At the application level it will support a BBS, a DXC and in the future a satgat. Both BBS and DXC are directly accessible from any network protocols. I'll probably have above 20 entries for the system.

>
>Entry 1 could be BBS (Port 1)
>Entry 2 could be BBS (Port 2)
>Entry 3 could be NODE, NETROM
>Entry 4 could be NODE, TCP/IP (Backbone -- no user access)
>Entry 5 could be NODE, TCP/IP (user port)
>
>In this way, the node is shown in the listing representing not a one to one
>relationship based on hardware but the number of things that the hardware
>does. A functional listing, which allows the user to see possibilities of
>access when looking for something.

>
>Drop Entries 1 through 3 and you have the listings for a two port node or
>whatever.
>
>Since I would hope that we are already showing multiple entries for systems
>that have different freqs support and access (user or backbones), then we
>should be showing systems that have multiple functional support as well the
>same way.

>
>Cheers - Greg
>
>-----
>Greg Jones, WD5IVD
>Austin, Texas
>wd5ivd@tapr.org
><http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd>
>-----

>
>
A comment on the NETWORK field:
NETROM should be used for either BPQ,THENET,KNET,....
BPQ or THENET,.. should appear in the software FIELD

73 Remi W5/F6CNB
>

From faguilar@ICSI.Net Wed Mar 19 08:29:15 1997
Received: from ICSI.Net (ns2.ICSI.Net [199.1.96.110]) by tapr.org
(8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id IAA16746 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 08:29:14 -0600 (CST)
Received: from warhol.ICSI.Net by ICSI.Net (SMI-8.6/SMI-SVR4)

id IAA07778; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 08:27:16 -0600
Received: from faguilar.icsi.net by warhol.ICSNet (SMI-8.6/SMI-SVR4)
id IAA13515; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 08:27:40 -0600
Message-Id: <199703191427.IAA13515@warhol.ICSNet>
From: "Frank R.Aguilar" <faguilar@ICSNet>
To: <regional_freq@tapr.org>
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:324] Re: GATEWAY ?
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 1997 08:26:10 -0600
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Priority: 3
X-Mailer: Microsoft Internet Mail 4.70.1155
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> With Linux we are going to very complex systems. I am setting up a system
> under Linux with AX25, NETROM, TCP/IP and ROSE (also FLEXNET is available)
> routing with 5 users/network frequencies, a backbone access and an
Internet
> Link. At the application level it will support a BBS, a DXC and in the
> future a satgat. Both BBS and DXC are directly accessible from any
network
> protocole. I'll probably have above 20 entries for the system.
>
> 73 Remi W5/F6CNB

Here's a very good example of a site with multiple points of access. This brings to mind a question I've been thinking about for a while now. On the database submissions, there are allowances at the end of the structure for multiple frequencies other than the "main". If I'm not mistaken, if any of those frequencies are to be listed, then the node would have to be entered as many times as there are frequencies. In my submission, I've got some entries which are represented just that way as well as others which just have the additional frequencies at the end of the structure. I could have my software generate an additional entry for those records with the appropriate frequency and really "bloat" the number of records. And what of those frequencies whose access to the same site utilizes a different alias? As an example I could have my BPQ node allows for my 145.01 port to have one alias and my 144.60 port to have another, but its still the same site. I've made allowances for this in the database I have but would like to show that as well when I run my translate utility to convert it over to the NADSD format.

Just a few thoughts, open for comments....

Frank Aguilar <faguilar@icsi.net>
N5SSH

From bruninga@nadm.navy.mil Wed Mar 19 09:19:06 1997
Received: from arctic.nadm.navy.mil (arctic.nadm.navy.mil [131.121.8.1]) by
tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id JAA19520; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 09:19:02 -0600
(CST)
Received: from localhost (bruninga@localhost)
by arctic.nadm.navy.mil (8.8.5/8.8.5) with SMTP id KAA15854;
Wed, 19 Mar 1997 10:24:34 -0500 (EST)
X-Authentication-Warning: arctic.nadm.navy.mil: bruninga owned process doing -bs
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 1997 10:24:34 -0500 (EST)
From: Bob Bruninga <bruninga@nadm.navy.mil>
X-Sender: bruninga@arctic
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
cc: aprssig@tapr.org
Subject: IWAYS and HIWAYS
In-Reply-To: <v0302071caf557888c96a@[208.134.134.40]>
Message-ID: <Pine.GS0.3.95.970319101931.1818F-100000@arctic>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

I have not been following closely, but we need a TERM for internet gateways both in the general digital database sense, and as a MAP symbol for APRS. A possibility might be "IWAYS" or "HIWAYS" (compared to GATEWAYS which in my opinion should be reserved for band-to-band processes)

I get tired of having to type INTERNET GATEWAYS. An IWAY might be nice...

Just .02 cents worth

See APRS LIVE: <http://web.usna.navy.mil/~bruninga/aprs.html>
GPS on ANY radio: <http://www.tapr.org/tapr/html/mic-e.html>

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Wed Mar 19 10:15:51 1997
Received: from [208.134.134.40] ([208.134.134.40]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id KAA22632 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 10:15:49 -0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <v03020722af55c11fdcb4@[208.134.134.40]>
In-Reply-To: <3.0.1.32.19970319072039.00a499e8@pop2.sugar-land.omnes.net>
References: <v0302071caf557888c96a@[208.134.134.40]>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 1997 10:15:07 -0600
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:324] Re: GATEWAY ?

>A comment on the NETWORK field:
>NETROM should be used for either BPQ,THENET,KNET,....
>BPQ or THENET,.. should appear in the software FIELD

Now -- this is an interesting comment.

We drop BPQ, THENET, X1J, KNET from the network field and say that NETROM is the NETWORK TYPE and those are software implementations. Very true

Remi. good point.

Comments ?

Greg

Greg Jones, WD5IVD
Austin, Texas
wd5ivd@tapr.org
<http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd>

From BHildebrand@worldnet.att.net Wed Mar 19 10:34:40 1997
Received: from mtigwc04.worldnet.att.net (mailhost.worldnet.att.net
[204.127.131.33]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id KAA24238 for
<regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 10:34:36 -0600 (CST)
Received: from 486 ([207.147.207.122]) by mtigwc04.worldnet.att.net
(post.office MTA v2.0 0613) with ESMTP id AAA23023
for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 16:32:10 +0000
From: "BH" <BHildebrand@worldnet.att.net>
To: <regional_freq@tapr.org>
Subject: APRS data and SA4/Win95
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 1997 08:32:42 -0800
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Priority: 3
X-Mailer: Microsoft Internet Mail 4.70.1160
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Message-ID: <19970319163207.AAA23023@486>

Just to let you know what the status of my SA4/Win95 demo program is.

>Minimum set. You should receive and handle all APRS incoming packets.
>This means

> 1) Plot all positions (you are doing this)
Does that.

> 2) Save all packets starting with a >DDHHMM to a "STATUS page" Saving
> only the latest copy from each station
I notice that not all "Status" packets appear to start with >DDHHMM. Here
is an example:
KC6VVT>APRS,WIDE,WIDE*/1:@030435zPat SDG SEC Chula Vista, kc6vvt@amsat.org

> 3) Save all MESSAGES to a TRAFFIC page. These are all packets
> that have a ":" in the 10th position preceded with a callsign
> (padded with spaces to 9 characters). Confirm that the 7th, 8th
> and 9th are either " " or "-n " or "-nn"
Does that.

> 4) Save all MESSAGES as above that are addressed to BLNx to a BULLETINS
> page
Does that.
> 5) Respond to packets with ?APRS? with your current position randomly
> over the next 2 minutes
Doesn't do that, but not hard.

> 6) Respond to packetw with ?APRS? LAT, LONG, RANGE if you are within that
> range of that point immediately
Ditto #5.

7) In addition to sending your position periodically, allow the person
to enter a single STATUS line. This line is transmitted with a
> DDHHMM time stamp at the start of the line. (time it was entered)
Ditto #5.
> The above would be about 90% of a full up APRS station..

I do have a page that shows the raw data from the TNC and an entry line
where data can be sent to the TNC.

BH, KH2Z

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Wed Mar 19 10:55:45 1997
Received: from [208.134.134.40] ([208.134.134.40]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9)
with ESMTP id KAA25248 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 10:55:43
-0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <v03020723af55c57ae30b@[208.134.134.40]>
In-Reply-To: <199703191427.IAA13515@warhol.ICS.I.Net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 1997 10:37:24 -0600
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:325] Re: GATEWAY ?

> Here's a very good example of a site with multiple points of access. This
> brings to mind a question I've been thinking about for a while now. On the
> database submissions, there are allowances at the end of the structure
> for multiple frequencies other than the "main". If I'm not mistaken, if
> any
> of those frequencies are to be listed, then the node would have to be
> entered
> as many times as there are frequencies. In my submission, I've got some
> entries which are represented just that way as well as others which just
> have
> the additional frequencies at the end of the structure. I could have my
> software generate an additional entry for those records with the
> appropriate
> frequency and really "bloat" the number of records. And what of those
> frequencies whose access to the same site utilizes a different alias? As
> an
> example I could have my BPQ node allows for my 145.01 port to have one
> alias

>and my 144.60 port to have another, but its still the same site. I've made
>allowances for this in the database I have but would like to show that as
>well
>when I run my translate utility to convert it over to the NADSD format.
>
>Just a few thoughts, open for comments....

Frank.

Well that is what the NADSD software will eventually do, when I turn the
extra freq lists on. I haven't yet, so I just collect the extra data out
there and don't do anything with it yet.

The NADSD system will generate the same entry with a different freq listing
for the information listed. That was all I had in mind.

Maybe the NADSD software shouldn't do it, but the submitter should control
all that so that they have complete control and we leave the multiple
repeating columns at the end available for showing links between systems
within the same database later on ?

The question becomes are we showing functional relationships in the system
(which I think the direction should be) or just showing

In addition, if we don't show a system for each freq, when I get the code
turned on to generate the by Freq, by band, etc pages, then systems will
not show up correctly on those two pages if only one freq is listed.

The same goes when the pages for NETWORK and SYSTEM type get generated for
each state, then if a system doesn't have an entry for each function it
does, it will not show up on some of these additional sorted and searched
listing pages.

More food for thought.

Cheers - Greg

Greg Jones, WD5IVD
Austin, Texas
wd5ivd@tapr.org
<http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd>

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Wed Mar 19 10:55:57 1997
Received: from [208.134.134.40] ([208.134.134.40]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9)
with ESMTP id KAA25297 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 10:55:55
-0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <v03020729af55c939c4a7@[208.134.134.40]>
In-Reply-To: <Pine.GS0.3.95.970319101931.1818F-1000000@arctic>
References: <v0302071caf557888c96a@[208.134.134.40]>
Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 1997 10:49:58 -0600
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:326] IWAYS and HIWAYS

Hi Bob.

IWAYS = Internet Gateway

what would the H stand for ? Ham ?

The icon situation is still in flux on Steve's stuff. We are looking at a revamp on the interface once I get time to generate the output files differently. Steve and myself talked on the phone about a week ago concerning the restructure. The current master file is getting to large to handle...so we need to crop it down a little and make it more dynamic.

Cheers - Greg

>I have not been following closely, but we need a TERM for internet
>gateways both in the general digital database sense, and as a MAP symbol
>for APRS. A possiblity might be "IWAYS" or "HIWAYS" (compared to GATEWAYS
>which in my opinion should be reserved for band-to-band processes)
>
>I get tired of having to type INTERNET GATEWAYS. An IWAY might be nice...
>
>Just .02 cents worth
>
>See APRS LIVE: <http://web.usna.navy.mil/~bruninga/aprs.html>
>GPS on ANY radio: <http://www.tapr.org/tapr/html/mic-e.html>

Greg Jones, WD5IVD
Austin, Texas
wd5ivd@tapr.org
<http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd>

From faguilar@ICSI.Net Wed Mar 19 11:19:27 1997
Received: from ICSI.Net (ns2.ICSI.Net [199.1.96.110]) by tapr.org
(8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id LAA27126 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 19 Mar
1997 11:19:25 -0600 (CST)
Received: from warhol.ICSI.Net by ICSI.Net (SMI-8.6/SMI-SVR4)
id LAA05905; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 11:17:27 -0600
Received: from ppp006-lardtx.ICSI.Net by warhol.ICSI.Net (SMI-8.6/SMI-SVR4)
id LAA04991; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 11:17:49 -0600
Message-ID: <33301FAF.5F3F@icsi.net>
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 1997 11:17:35 -0600
From: "Frank R. Aguilar" <faguilar@ICSI.Net>
Organization: N5SSH
X-Mailer: Mozilla 2.02 (Win16; I)

MIME-Version: 1.0
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
Subject: Re: GATEWAY ?
References: <v03020722af55c11fdcb4@[208.134.134.40]>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I'll have to agree with Remi. Sounds about where it ought to be.

N5SSH

Greg Jones, WD5IVD wrote:

>
> >A comment on the NETWORK field:
> >NETROM should be used for either BPQ,THENET,KNET,....
> >BPQ or THENET,.. should appear in the software FIELD
>
> Now -- this is an interesting comment.
>
> We drop BPQ, THENET, X1J, KNET from the network field and say that NETROM
> is the NETWORK TYPE and those are software implementations. Very true
> Remi. good point.
>
> Comments ?
>
> Greg
>
> -----
> Greg Jones, WD5IVD
> Austin, Texas
> wd5ivd@tapr.org
> http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd
> -----

From jreinh@ix2.ix.netcom.com Wed Mar 19 12:46:25 1997
Received: from dfw-ix11.ix.netcom.com (dfw-ix11.ix.netcom.com [206.214.98.11]) by
tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id MAA02023 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>;
Wed, 19 Mar 1997 12:46:20 -0600 (CST)
Received: (from smap@localhost)
by dfw-ix11.ix.netcom.com (8.8.4/8.8.4)
id MAA26655 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 12:45:44 -0600
(CST)
Received: from tok-ca2-19.ix.netcom.com(204.32.200.83) by dfw-ix11.ix.netcom.com
via smap (V1.3)
id sma026618; Wed Mar 19 12:45:01 1997
Message-ID: <33303419.35C4@popd.ix.netcom.com>
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 1997 10:44:41 -0800
From: Jeff Reinhardt <jreinh@ix2.ix.netcom.com>
Reply-To: jreinh@ix2.ix.netcom.com
Organization: "The Agency" disavows any knowledge of my activities

X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
Subject: Network Field
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Just to add something to the mix...it could be argued that MSYS is also a network type, given that it does indeed network and handle multi-port connections and switches. My first submission ran afoul by listing MSYS as such...given that our area has several MSYS BBS operations and nodes (with seperate "node" names and calls).

The benefit to the person who accesses the data from the lookup is that each of these systems operates somewhat differently. Those familiar with MSYS, BPQ or THENET/NETROM will appreciate the difference between C1 NODE and C 1 NODE.

So with regard to the string about boling it down to one type - it would make OUR jobs as coordinators easier, but does it serve the purpose of providing quality (highly accurate) info to the database user, who is our "client"? I'd rather err on the side of precision. Looking forward to the thoughts of others in this matter.

73....Jeff AA6JR

From ka4afi@bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org Wed Mar 19 14:09:10 1997
Received: from bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org (gw.awanet.com [205.216.78.95]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id OAA05600 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 14:09:09 -0600 (CST)
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 97 14:07:45 CST
Message-Id: <339973@bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org>
From: Jim Ketcham <ka4afi@bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org>
Reply-To: ka4afi@bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
Subject: Re: gateways

On Wed, 19 Mar Bob Bruninga wrote:

> I have not been following closely, but we need a TERM for internet
> gateways both in the general digital database sense, and as a MAP symbol
> for APRS. A possiblity might be "IWAYS" or "HIWAYS" (compared to GATEWAYS
> which in my opinion should be reserved for band-to-band processes)
>
> I get tired of having to type INTERNET GATEWAYS. An IWAY might be nice...
>

I thought SATgate and maybe even HFgate were already accepted terms.
Looks like Igate would be more consistant. ie doing something besides
simply changing freqs on VHF/UHF and going for a short hop.

- - - SATgate - - HFgate - - Igate - - -

+ - - - - - +
73 de Jim: KA4AFI, Co-SysOp, EARS JNOS gateway BBS

WD4R0J.#SEAL.AL.USA.NOAM -or- bbs.wd4roj.ampr.org

+ - - - - - +

From wa6ylb@theworks.com Wed Mar 19 19:46:28 1997

Received: from ns1.theworks.com (root@ns.theworks.com [206.171.181.1]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id TAA21896 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 19:46:27 -0600 (CST)

Received: from lizard (dialup23.theworks.com [206.171.181.123]) by ns1.theworks.com (8.7.5/8.7.3) with SMTP id RAA06087; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 17:42:20 -0800

Message-Id: <3.0.1.16.19970319174448.2cd759da@theworks.com>

X-Sender: wa6ylb@theworks.com

X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Light Version 3.0.1 (16)

Date: Wed, 19 Mar 1997 17:44:48 -0800

To: regional_freq@tapr.org, regional_freq@tapr.org

From: Byron Smith <wa6ylb@theworks.com>

Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:326] IWAYS and HIWAYS

In-Reply-To: <Pine.GS0.3.95.970319101931.1818F-1000000@arctic>

References: <v0302071caf557888c96a@[208.134.134.40]>

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

How about WORM HOLE or apple with worm?

At 09:28 AM 3/19/97 -0600, Bob Bruninga wrote:

>I have not been following closely, but we need a TERM for internet
>gateways both in the general digital database sense, and as a MAP symbol
>for APRS. A possiblity might be "IWAYS" or "HIWAYS" (compared to GATEWAYS
>which in my opinion should be reserved for band-to-band processes)

>

>I get tired of having to type INTERNET GATEWAYS. An IWAY might be nice...

>

>Just .02 cents worth

>

>See APRS LIVE: <http://web.usna.navy.mil/~bruninga/aprs.html>

>GPS on ANY radio: <http://www.tapr.org/tapr/html/mic-e.html>

>

>

Byron Smith

wa6ylb@theworks.com

wa6ylb@wa6ylb.#cca.ca.usa.noam

... If a stealth bomber crashes in a forest, will it make a sound?

From sdraper@ckt.net Wed Mar 19 20:04:03 1997

Received: from ckt.net (girard1-le1.ckt.net [206.253.50.10]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id UAA23075 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 20:04:02 -0600 (CST)

Received: from LOCALNAME by ckt.net (SMI-8.6/SMI-SVR4)
id UAA19048; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 20:03:59 -0600

Message-ID: <33304639.420B@ckt.net>

Date: Wed, 19 Mar 1997 20:03:54 +0000

From: "Stephen C. Draper N5SD" <sdraper@ckt.net>

Reply-To: sdraper@ckt.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01 (Win16; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
Subject: Re: Network Field
References: <v03020722af55c11fdcb4@[208.134.134.40]>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> We drop BPQ, THENET, X1J, KNET from the network field and say that NETROM
> is the NETWORK TYPE and those are software implementations. Very true
> Remi. good point.

I agree with Remi also. They speak the same language to each other
therefore
they are in the same NETWORK TYPE. Let the SOFTWARE be the dialect if
necessary. That way a quick glance at the listings in the NETWORK column
would show you what would work together. Sounds much easier for a user
to
read.

Under the GATEWAY question, how would a dual port KaNode fit in that has
Netrom nodes on one side and Texnet on the other?

73,
Steve N5SD

From dmeredith@phx-az.ampr.org Wed Mar 19 22:37:42 1997
Received: from azgtwy.ampr.org ([164.50.248.100]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9)
with SMTP id WAA02644 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 22:37:39
-0600 (CST)
Received: from phx-az.ampr.org by azgtwy.ampr.org (JNOS1.11x3) with SMTP
id AA5630 ; Wed, 19 Mar 97 21:37:08 MST
Received: from phx-az by phx-az.ampr.org (JNOS1.11x5) with SMTP
id AA3004 ; Wed, 19 Mar 97 21:37:13 MST
X-Mailer: BeyondMail for Windows/SMTP 2.2
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: Daniel Meredith <dmeredith@phx-az.ampr.org>
Subject: re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:323] Re: GATEWAY ?
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 1997 21:37:12 -0800
X-BeyondMail-Priority: 1
Message-Id: <BMSMTP8588360190dmeredith@phx-az.ampr.org>
Conversation-Id: <v0302071caf557888c96a@[208.134.134.40]>
In-Reply-To: <v0302071caf557888c96a@[208.134.134.40]>
Reply-To: Daniel Meredith <dmeredith@phx-az.ampr.org>

> From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>, on 3/19/97 7:45 AM:
> >Jim Ketcham wrote:


```

> >>
> >A GATEWAY by definition is a system connecting two networks that have
> >different protocols (or sometimes just different parameters). To limit
> >gateways to TCP/IP Radio <> wireline networks is to show a very limited
> >mindset. Gateways can vary widely from the Internet gateways that you
> >are thinking of to TheNET<>ROSE, Packet<>xTOR, packet<>DOS computer, etc
> >etc. They are very much a distinct system type, more distinct than some
> >of the other allowed system entries. I don't see any other option but
> >to put them in System Type.
> >
>
> It seems that for gateways and systems that have multiple functions that we
> can not have just one entry in the database solve the issue for showing
> what a system represents functionally or is accessed by the user. To
> really show a system correctly, systems need to have an entry for each
> function. We need to list each function of a system as an entry in the
> database and then indicate in the notes section that the system is a
> gateway. Thus, a system that has two functions (i.e. local access and
> backbone access has two entries with a note that points to relationship).
> Having something called GATEWAY in either the NETWORK or SYSTEM fields
> doesn't really solve anything.
>
> A rare example might be a SWITCH that is running NOS and supporting NETROM,
> TCP/IP, has two user ports for a BBS, and has access to a backbone. That
> would result in five entries in the database.
>
> Entry 1 could be BBS (Port 1)
> Entry 2 could be BBS (Port 2)
> Entry 3 could be NODE, NETROM
> Entry 4 could be NODE, TCP/IP (Backbone -- no user access)
> Entry 5 could be NODE, TCP/IP (user port)
>
> In this way, the node is shown in the listing representing not a one to one
> relationship based on hardware but the number of things that the hardware
> does. A functional listing, which allows the user to see possibilities of
> access when looking for something.
>
> Drop Entries 1 through 3 and you have the listings for a two port node or
> whatever.
>
> Since I would hope that we are already showing multiple entires for systems
> that have different freqs support and access (user or backbones), then we
> should be showing systems that have multiple functional support as well the
> same way.
>
> Cheers - Greg
>
> -----
> Greg Jones, WD5IVD

```

I agree with what Greg is saying here and to that extent, we have several items that are similar to that of what is caried on the standard repeater listings, while they don't concur with what TAPR is requesting, this is what we happen to have and what was submitted, although it generates an error...We show things

such as the system being open whether it is a switch or bbs, a link, if it has emergency power etc etc....Take a look at the Arizona Listing and you'll see what I'm talking about...One thing we do not do and won't for a mere pain in administration is keep track of whether or not it is netrom/x1j/thenet/kanode etc...In fact we only coordinate actual Node systems and backbone radios, switches and various other staple radios in our radio community...We actually perform coordination just as repeaters and it has worked very well here in Arizona in terms of keeping nearly everyone happy..

Daniel

"It's Nice To Be Important, But It's Important To Be Nice."

Telecom Resources - <http://www.stat.com/catch22/tcom>

Personal Homepage - <http://www.stat.com/catch22>

From dmeredith@phx-az.ampr.org Wed Mar 19 22:38:27 1997

Received: from azgtwy.ampr.org ([164.50.248.100]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id WAA02685 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 22:38:25 -0600 (CST)

Received: from phx-az.ampr.org by azgtwy.ampr.org (JNOS1.11x3) with SMTP id AA5632 ; Wed, 19 Mar 97 21:37:53 MST

Received: from phx-az by phx-az.ampr.org (JNOS1.11x5) with SMTP id AA3006 ; Wed, 19 Mar 97 21:38:02 MST

X-Mailer: BeyondMail for Windows/SMTP 2.2

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: Multipart/Mixed;

boundary="BeyondBoundary_1_Wed_Mar_19_21:38:02_1997__29"

To: regional_freq@tapr.org

From: Daniel Meredith <dmeredith@phx-az.ampr.org>

Subject: re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:327] Re: GATEWAY ?

Date: Wed, 19 Mar 1997 21:38:02 -0800

X-BeyondMail-Priority: 1

Message-Id: <BMSMTP8588362682dmeredith@phx-az.ampr.org>

Conversation-Id: <v03020722af55c11fdcb4@[208.134.134.40]>

In-Reply-To: <v03020722af55c11fdcb4@[208.134.134.40]>

Reply-To: Daniel Meredith <dmeredith@phx-az.ampr.org>

--BeyondBoundary_1_Wed_Mar_19_21:38:02_1997__29

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit

See my previous message, I would be fine with this one...

> From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>, on 3/19/97 9:29 AM:

> >A comment on the NETWORK field:

> >NETROM should be used for either BPQ,THENET,KNET,....

> >BPQ or THENET,.. should appear in the software FIELD

>

> Now -- this is an interesting comment.

>

> We drop BPQ, THENET, X1J, KNET from the network field and say that NETROM

QmV5b25kIFBhY2t1ZCBBDHRYaWJ1dGVzADspABQAKAAAAAAAAAAcmU6IFtSRUDj
T05BTf9GUkVR0jMyN10gUmU6IEdBVEVXQVkgPwAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
AAA
AGRtZXJlZG10aAAA
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA8Qk1TTVRQODU4ODM2MjY4MmRl
ZXJlZGkaQmV5b25kIFByb3ByaWV0YXJ5IERhdGEaAAAAAAQAaaaaaaADwAp
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAQ29udmVyc2F0aw9uIElkKDX2MDMWmJA3
MjJhZjU1YzExZmRjYjRwZwIwOC4xMzQuMTM0LjQwXT4EAAAAAAAAABAACQAA
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAE1lc3NhZ2UgRW5jb2RpbmcISVNPLTG4NTkB
AAAAAAAAABUAawAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAFVzZSBQcm9wb3J0aw9u
YWwgRm9udAEAQAkAAAAAAAAAADQAnAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAUHJl
dmldvXMGRnJvbSYiR3JlZyBKb25lcywGV0Q1SVZEIia8d2Q1axZkQRHrChIU
b3JnPgOAAAAAAAAAACwAoAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAUHJl dmldvXMg
VG8mAGwCAQAJAP//FWAAAIXABZyZWdpb25hbF9mcmVxQHRhChIub3JnEQAA
AAAAANAAYAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAABPcmInaW5hbCB0ZXh0AAAA
AAAEQAAAAAAAAEAPEEAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAABUZxh0QANTZWUG
bXkgcHJl dmldvXMgbWVzc2FnZSwgSSB3b3VsZCBIzSBmaW5lIHdpdGggdGhp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```
>I agree with what Greg is saying here and to that extent, we have several
>items
>that are similar to that of what is carried on the standard repeater listings.
>while they don't concur with what TAPR is requesting, this is what we happen
>to have and what was submitted, although it generates an error...We show
>things
>such as the system being open whether it is a switch or bbs, a link, if
>it has
>emergency power etc etc....Take a look at the Arizona Listing and you'll see
>what I'm talking about...One thing we do not do and won't for a mere pain in
>administration is keep track of whether or not it is netrom/x1j/thenet/kanode
>etc...In fact we only coordinate actual Node systems and backbone radios,
>switches and various other staple radios in our radio community...We actually
>perform coordination just as repeaters and it has worked very well here in
>Arizona in terms of keeping nearly everyone happy..
>
```

>Daniel

Dan,

shouldn't the information about Emergency power and the like be in the notes section ?

Greg

Greg Jones, WD5IVD
Austin, Texas
wd5ivd@tapr.org
<http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd>

From dmeredith@phx-az.ampr.org Wed Mar 19 23:32:10 1997
Received: from azgtwy.ampr.org ([164.50.248.100]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with SMTP id XAA05827 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Wed, 19 Mar 1997 23:32:05 -0600 (CST)
Received: from phx-az.ampr.org by azgtwy.ampr.org (JNOS1.11x3) with SMTP id AA5643 ; Wed, 19 Mar 97 22:30:46 MST
Received: from phx-az by phx-az.ampr.org (JNOS1.11x5) with SMTP id AA3014 ; Wed, 19 Mar 97 22:30:28 MST
X-Mailer: BeyondMail for Windows/SMTP 2.2
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Multipart/Mixed;
boundary="BeyondBoundary_1_Wed_Mar_19_22:30:46_1997__29"
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: Daniel Meredith <dmeredith@phx-az.ampr.org>
Subject: re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:338] Re: GATEWAY ?
Date: Wed, 19 Mar 1997 22:30:42 -0800
X-BeyondMail-Priority: 1
Message-Id: <BMSMTP8588393940dmeredith@phx-az.ampr.org>
Conversation-Id: <v03020738af5671544fd3@[208.134.134.40]>
In-Reply-To: <v03020738af5671544fd3@[208.134.134.40]>
Reply-To: Daniel Meredith <dmeredith@phx-az.ampr.org>

--BeyondBoundary_1_Wed_Mar_19_22:30:46_1997__29
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit

Not in our case as we use the notes section for internal notes and they are not published to the public..So in this case, I actually deleted them before sending them for the web page...We consider them administrative notes...

> From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>, on 3/19/97 10:27 PM:
> >I agree with what Greg is saying here and to that extent, we have several
> >items
> >that are similar to that of what is carried on the standard repeater

```
listings,  
> >while they don't concur with what TAPR is requesting, this is what we  
happen  
> >to have and what was submitted, although it generates an error...We show  
> >things  
> >such as the system being open whether it is a switch or bbs, a link, if  
> >it has  
> >emergency power etc etc....Take a look at the Arizona Listing and you'll  
see  
> >what I'm talking about...One thing we do not do and won't for a mere pain  
in  
> >administration is keep track of whether or not it is  
netrom/x1j/thenet/kanode  
> >etc...In fact we only coordinate actual Node systems and backbone radios,  
> >switches and various other staple radios in our radio community...We  
actually  
> >perform coordination just as repeaters and it has worked very well here in  
> >Arizona in terms of keeping nearly everyone happy..  
> >  
> >Daniel  
>  
> Dan,  
>  
> shouldn't the information about Emergency power and the like be in the  
> notes section ?  
>  
>  
> Greg  
>  
> -----  
> Greg Jones, WD5IVD  
> Austin, Texas  
> wd5ivd@tapr.org  
> http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd  
> -----  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
-----  
"It's Nice To Be Important, But It's Important To Be Nice."  
Telecom Resources - http://www.stat.com/catch22/tcom  
Personal Homepage - http://www.stat.com/catch22
```

AGRtZXJlZG10aAAA
AA8Qk1TTVRQODU4ODM5Mzk0MGRt
ZXJlZGkAQmV5b25kIFByb3ByaWV0YXJ5IERhdGEaAAAAAAQAAAAAAAAADwAp
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAQ29udmVyc2F0aW9uIE1kKDX2MDMwMjA3
MzhhhZjU2NzE1NDRmZDNaWzIwOC4xMzQuMTM0LjQwXT4EAAAAAAAAABAACQAA
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAE1lc3NhZ2UgRW5jb2RpbmcISVNPLTg4NTkB
AAAAAAAAABUAAwAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAFVzZSBQcm9wb3J0aW9u
YWwgRm9udAEAAQkAAAAAAAAAADQAnAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAUHJl
dm1vdXMgRnJvbSYiR3JlZyBkb25lcYwV0Q1SVZEIiA8d2Q1aXZkQHRhcHIu
b3JnPg0AAAAAAAAACwAoAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAUHJl dm1vdXMg
VG8mAN4AAQAJAP//FwAAAAIXABZyZWdpb25hbF9mcmVxQHRhcHIub3JnEQAA
AAAAAAAAANAAYAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAABPcm1naW5hbCB0ZXh0AAAA
AAAAEQAAAAAAAAAAEKIIAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAABUZxh0qQZ0b3Qg
aW4gb3VyIGNhc2UgYXMGd2UgdXNlIHRoZSBub3RlcyBzZWNoaW9uIGZvciBp
bnRlcm5hbCBub3RlcyBhbmQgdGhleSBhcmUgYm90IHB1YmVyc2hlZCB0byB0
aGUgcHVibG1jLi5TbyBpb3B0aG1zIGNhc2UuIEkgYWN0dWFsbHkgZGVsZXRL
ZCB0aGVtIGJlZm9yZSBzZW5kaW5nIHRoZW0gZm9yIHRoZSB3ZWlGcGFnZS4u
LldlIGNvbnNpZGVyIHRoZW0gYWRtaW5pc3RyYXRpdmgYm90ZXMuLi4KCgo+
ICBGcm9t0iAiR3JlZyBkb25lcYwV0Q1SVZEIiA8d2Q1aXZkQHRhcHIub3Jn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YXQgdGhleIEFyaXpvmEgTGlzdGluZyBhbmQgeW91J2xsIHNlZQo+ICA+d2hh
dCBJJ20gdGFsa2luZyBhYm91dC4uLk9uZSB0aGluZyB3ZSBkbyBub3QgZG8g
YW5kIHdvbid0IGZvciBhIGl1cmUgcGFpb3Bpbgo+ICA+YWRtaW5pc3RyYXRp
b24gaXMGa2VlcCB0cmFjayBvZiB3aGV0aGVyIG9yIG5vdCBpdCBpcyBuZXRY
b20veDFqL3RoZW5ldC9rYW5vZGUKPiAgPmV0Yy4uLkluIGZhY3Qgd2Ugb25s
eSBjb29yZGluYXRlIGFjdHVhbCB0b2RlIHN5c3RlbXMgYW5kIGJhY2tib25l
IHJhZG1vcywKPiAgPnN3aXRjaGVzIGFuZCB2YXJpb3VzIG90aGVyIHN0YXBs
ZSBYIWRpb3MgaW4gb3VyIHJhZG1vIGNvbW11bm10eS4uLldlIGFjdHVhbGx5
Cj4gID5wZXJmb3JtIGNvb3JkaW5hdGlvbiBqdXN0IGFzIHJlcGVhdGVycyBh
bmQgaXQgaGFzIHdvcmtdZCB2ZXJ5IHdlbGwgaGVyZSBpbgo+ICA+QXJpem9u
YSBpb3B0ZXJtcyBvZiBzZWVwaW5nIG51YXJseSBldmVyeW9uZSB0YXBweS4u
Cj4gID4KPiAgPkRhbm1lbAo+ICAKPiAgRGFuLAo+ICAKPiAgGc2hvdWxkbid0
IHRoZSBpbmZvcmlhdGlvbiBhYm91dCBFbWVyZ2VuY3kgcG93ZXIgyW5kIHRo
ZSBsaWtIGJlIGluIHRoZQo+ICBub3RlcyBzZWNoaW9uID8KPiAgCj4gIAo+
ICBhcmVnZCj4gIAo+ICAtLS0tLQo+ICBhcmVnIEpvmVzLCBXRdVJVkQKPiAg
QXVzdGluLCBUZXhhcwo+ICB3ZDVpdmdRadGFwci5vcmcKPiAgAHR0cDovL3d3
dy50YXByLm9yZy9+d2Q1aXZkCj4gIC0tLS0tCj4gIAo+ICAKPiAgCj4gIAo+
ICAKLS0tLS0KIkl0J3MgTm1jZSBubyBCZSBjbXBvcnRhbnQsIEJlZCBJdCdz
IEltdG9ydGFudCBubyBCZSB0aWNoLiIKVGVsZWVnbSBsZXNvdXJjZXMgLSBo
dHRw0i8vd3d3LnN0YXQyY29tL2NhdkNoMjIvdGNvbQpQZXJzb25hbCBib21l
cGFnZSA0IGh0dHA6Ly93d3cuc3RhdC5jb20vY2F0Y2gyMgoK8wEDAKKg7AED
AAIAAAAvAAEAAQABAMgFAAAAAAAAAAgDJBRsAAAAAAAAAAQDkBCyAAAAAAAA
OP8AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAATVMgU2FucyBTZXJpZgAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
AAAAAAAAAAAAOP8AAAAAAC8AgABAAAAAAAAATVMgU2FucyBTZXJpZgAAAAAA

AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAQABAOIAAQDjAOMAAQDkAOQAAQDlACoBAQAr
AXgBAQB5AYIBAQCDAAdQBAQDVASYCAQAnAnMCAQB0An4CAQB/AssCAQDMAtYC
AQDXAicDAQAoA3gDAQB5A8oDAQDLAxAQAZBGoEAQBBrBLkEAQC6BPEEAQDy
BPYEAQD3BAEFAQACBQUFAQAGBQ0FAQA0BREFAQASBVsFAQBcBW4FAQBvBXIF
AQBzBXyFAQB3BX4FAQB/BYIFAQCDBYsFAQCMBaEFAQCIBbIFAQCzBcUFAQDG
BeQFAQDlBe0FAQDuBfEFAQDyBfUFAQD2BfkFAQD6Bf0FAQD+BQEGAQACBgCg
AQAIbKMGABEBngGAQB5BqgGAQCpBqkGAQCqBqoAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAZAAB
pAEBSAMB7AQBkAYBNAGB2AkBfAsBIA0BxA4BaBABDBIBsBMBVBUB+BZhAAAA
AAAAAAAAABAABgAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAEF0dGFjaG1lbnQgQ291
bnQEAAAAAAAA=

--BeyondBoundary_1_Wed_Mar_19_22:30:46_1997__29--

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Thu Mar 20 12:28:06 1997
Received: from [208.134.134.40] ([208.134.134.40]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9)
with ESMTP id MAA22927 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Thu, 20 Mar 1997 12:28:04
-0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <v03020755af5731a58d30@[208.134.134.40]>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Thu, 20 Mar 1997 12:28:43 -0600
To: TAPR Regional Freq <regional_freq@tapr.org>
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: NADSD Icon

Don't forget.....

For those who have requested an icon to link to the NADSD from your web
pages, there are now two images available to use. The images are:
<http://www.tapr.org/directory/nadsdm1.jpeg> and
<http://www.tapr.org/directory/nadsdm2.jpeg> Persons wishing to acquire the
images can access them with their web browser and then save them to disk to
be used on their web pages.

I see that some are using it now on their pages -- great! and many others
not having a link yet. Get those links up -- help your members find the
NADSD site.

Cheers - Greg

Greg Jones, WD5IVD
Austin, Texas
wd5ivd@tapr.org
<http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd>

From FortneyJ@ix.netcom.com Fri Mar 21 00:54:42 1997
Received: from dfw-ix16.ix.netcom.com (dfw-ix16.ix.netcom.com [206.214.98.16]) by
tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id AAA15635 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>;
Fri, 21 Mar 1997 00:54:41 -0600 (CST)
Received: (from smap@localhost)
by dfw-ix16.ix.netcom.com (8.8.4/8.8.4)

id AAA10037; Fri, 21 Mar 1997 00:54:09 -0600 (CST)
Received: from tok-ca8-54.ix.netcom.com(206.217.149.182) by dfw-ix16.ix.netcom.com
via smap (V1.3)
id sma010006; Fri Mar 21 00:53:42 1997
Date: Thu, 20 Mar 97 22:39:57 PST
From: "Fortney, James T." <FortneyJ@ix.netcom.com>
Sender: fortneyj@tok-ca8-54.ix.netcom.com
Subject: RE: [REGIONAL_FREQ:329] Re: GATEWAY ?
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
X-Mailer: Chameleon - TCP/IP for Windows by NetManage, Inc.
Message-ID: <Chameleon.4.01.3B.970320224246.fortneyj@tok-ca8-54.ix.netcom.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Greg said:

>The NADSD system will generate the same entry with a different freq listing
>for the information listed. That was all I had in mind.
>
>Maybe the NADSD software shouldn't do it, but the submitter should control
>all that so that they have complete control and we leave the multiple
>repeating columns at the end available for showing links between systems
>within the same database later on ?

I believe this is the preferred solution.

- JimF K6IYK

James T. Fortney
E-mail: FortneyJ@ix.netcom.com
or: Jim@Fortney.com
Voice: 805.491.3916 or 1.500.FORTNEY
AX.25: K6IYK@K6IYK.#SCA.CA.USA.NOAM
MARSAMTS: AAA9CS@AT9TCS.AMARS.DOD
FAX: 805.491.0319
Snail: P.O. Box 3419
Camarillo, CA 93011-3419

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Sun Mar 23 14:05:24 1997
Received: from [208.134.134.40] ([208.134.134.40]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9)
with ESMTP id OAA05827; Sun, 23 Mar 1997 14:04:57 -0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <v03007814af5b3a76bea5@[208.134.134.40]>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Sun, 23 Mar 1997 14:05:32 -0600
To: "APRS SIG list mailing"<aprssig@tapr.org>,
"DSP-93 Build "<dsp-93@tapr.org>,
"HF SIG list mailing"<hfsig@tapr.org>,
"NETSIG list mailing"<netsig@tapr.org>,
"BBS SIG list mailing"<bbssig@tapr.org>,
"TAPR/AMSAT DSP "<dsp@tapr.org>,
"TAPR-BB list mailing"<tapr-bb@tapr.org>,

TAPR Regional Freq <regional_freq@tapr.org>,
" tapr-tnc "<tapr-tnc@tapr.org>, " Spread Spectrum "<ss@tapr.org>
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: TAPR Board Election -- Don't forget to vote!

TAPR Board of Directors Elections

If you are a member of TAPR, don't forget to take the time to vote!

We have four people running for three places, so it could be your vote that determines who is on the TAPR Board of Directors.

Deadline for balloting is March 30th, 1997.

This year, members of TAPR can vote either by mail in ballot or over the Internet using the world wide web. Check your PSR on how to submit your vote via the web.

Don't lose your PSR, because you will need information contained on your mail label. If you have problems using the web system, contact Dorothy at the office and we can look into the issue.

PSRs were mailed on March 5th, so all members should have them by now. If you have not received your PSR, call or send e-mail to the office and request a ballot be sent or request the necessary information to allow you to ballot using the on-line Web page.

**** DEADLINE March 30th! ****

Tucson Amateur Packet Radio
8987-309 E Tanque Verde Rd #337 * Tucson, Az * 85749-9399 * 817-383-0000

e-mail: TAPR@TAPR.ORG ftp: ftp.tapr.org web: <http://www.tapr.org/>

From kb9cml@worldnet.att.net Thu Mar 27 15:49:22 1997
Received: from mtigwc04.worldnet.att.net (mailhost.worldnet.att.net [204.127.131.33]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id PAA24951 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Thu, 27 Mar 1997 15:49:20 -0600 (CST)
Received: from LOCALNAME ([207.146.163.199]) by mtigwc04.worldnet.att.net (post.office MTA v2.0 0613) with SMTP id AAA25133 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Thu, 27 Mar 1997 21:48:29 +0000
Message-ID: <333B1CA2.53EE@worldnet.att.net>
Date: Thu, 27 Mar 1997 17:19:30 -0800
From: Jon Haskell <kb9cml@worldnet.att.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 2.02E (Win95; I; 16bit)
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
Subject: gps validation number

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Bob, I forget if I paid for a GPS validation or not. If I did, I have lost the "secret decoder ring" number. Trying to get my HF mobile station running for the drive to Belize.

Jon R. Haskell
14221 Shelborne Road
Westfield, IN 46074
317.873.0302

former address
10906 Lakeshore Drive West
Carmel, IN 46033

From cestey@millcomm.com Sun Mar 30 00:00:38 1997
Received: from millcomm.com (midas.millcomm.com [208.135.176.12]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id AAA22296 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Sun, 30 Mar 1997 00:00:36 -0600 (CST)
Received: from LOCALNAME (Dial-12.Mpls.MillComm.COM [199.170.133.32]) by millcomm.com (8.8.5/8.8.5) with SMTP id XAA19321 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Sat, 29 Mar 1997 23:58:43 -0600 (CST)
Date: Sat, 29 Mar 1997 23:58:43 -0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <1.5.4.16.19970330054834.08cf6650@millcomm.com>
X-Sender: cestey@millcomm.com
X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Light Version 1.5.4 (16)
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: Carl Estey <cestey@millcomm.com>
Subject: Did I miss your e-mail?

If you sent me e-mail during the period from Sunday evening (6 PM CST March 23, 1997) until 3 PM Saturday March 29th it is probably in the bit-bucket and I may never get them. If possible, please resend those messages.

Thanks!

Carl E

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Mon Mar 31 17:38:35 1997
Received: from [208.134.134.40] ([208.134.134.40]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id RAA21342 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Mon, 31 Mar 1997 17:38:33 -0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <v0302070daf65fa3e732b@[208.134.134.40]>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Mon, 31 Mar 1997 17:38:10 -0600
To: TAPR Regional Freq <regional_freq@tapr.org>
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: LAT/LON Checking

Several things are happening today and the rest of the week regarding

LAT/LON information.

1. I have the check software updated so that it checks and gives feedback as to the LAT/LON information.

2. The generation program now also checks for the LAT/LON format and only outputs valid format for java mapping. LAT/LON is in the format of <degrees minutes>.<hundredths of minutes> (DDMM.HH). nnnn.n(n)(n) nnnnn.n(n)(n) are valid formats. See <http://www.tapr.org/directory/how.html> for more details.

3. There will be some changes this week and next to the mapping interface. The master file was getting to large to load easily and Steve requested that the information be broken down into states/regions so that javAPRS can determine what information to read in based on selection, instead of just loading in the one really large file. This should make load time and display easier for many.

I'll run the checkprogram shortly so everyone can see what needs to be corrected on the LAT/LON fields.

Cheers - Greg

Greg Jones, WD5IVD
Austin, Texas
wd5ivd@tapr.org
<http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd>

From wd5ivd@tapr.org Mon Mar 31 17:59:32 1997
Received: from [208.134.134.40] ([208.134.134.40]) by tapr.org (8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id RAA29879 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Mon, 31 Mar 1997 17:59:29 -0600 (CST)
Message-Id: <v0302070eaf65fe47662d@[208.134.134.40]>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Mon, 31 Mar 1997 18:00:38 -0600
To: TAPR Regional Freq <regional_freq@tapr.org>
From: "Greg Jones, WD5IVD" <wd5ivd@tapr.org>
Subject: E-mail address fills

Can you help me with the following e-mail errors the system have. I must have something entered or not updated correctly.

Let me know ---

----- The following addresses have delivery notifications -----
mackay@cycor.ca (unrecoverable error)

----- Transcript of session follows -----
550 n7tr@modx.org... Host unknown (Name server: modx.org: host not found)

File Processed: npcc.txt

----- Transcript of session follows -----

552 <ka9ren@juno.com>... Message is too large; 61440 bytes max
KA9REN is going to have to give a new address to get the information
generated by the system.

Cheers - Greg

Greg Jones, WD5IVD
Austin, Texas
wd5ivd@tapr.org
<http://www.tapr.org/~wd5ivd>

From rmackay@cycor.ca Mon Mar 31 19:14:28 1997
Received: from bud.peinet.pe.ca (root@bud.peinet.pe.ca [198.167.1.1]) by tapr.org
(8.7.5/8.7.3/1.9) with ESMTP id TAA10980 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Mon, 31 Mar
1997 19:14:27 -0600 (CST)
Received: from VE1AIC (ch-as16.peinet.pe.ca [198.167.2.116]) by bud.peinet.pe.ca
(8.8.5/8.6.11) with SMTP id VAA25421 for <regional_freq@tapr.org>; Mon, 31 Mar
1997 21:14:23 -0400 (AST)
Date: Mon, 31 Mar 1997 21:14:23 -0400 (AST)
Message-Id: <199704010114.VAA25421@bud.peinet.pe.ca>
X-Sender: rmackay@mail.peinet.pe.ca
X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Version 1.4.3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
To: regional_freq@tapr.org
From: rmackay@cycor.ca (Ron VE1AIC)
Subject: Re: [REGIONAL_FREQ:346] E-mail address fills

>Can you help me with the following e-mail errors the system have. I must
>have something entered or not updated correctly.

>

>Let me know ---

>

> ----- The following addresses have delivery notifications -----

>mackay@cycor.ca (unrecoverable error)

>

>

Yes Greg there is a missing "r" character at the beginning. It showed up
somewhere before but I thought it was already corrected.

Thanks,

Ron

Ron Mackay Packet: VE1AIC@VE1AIC.PE.CAN.NOAM
Box 188 Cornwall, PE E-mail: rmackay@peinet.pe.ca

Canada

or mackayr@charlottetown.cbc.ca

COA 1H0

WWW: <http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/7970>
